arXiv:1110.6346v1 [math.NT] 28 Oct 2011

TOWARD EXPLICIT FORMULAS FOR HIGHER REPRESENTATION NUMBERS OF QUADRATIC FORMS

Lynne H. Walling

Abstract. It is known that average Siegel theta series lie in the space of Siegel Eisenstein series. Also, every lattice equipped with an even integral quadratic form lies in a maximal lattice. Here we consider average Siegel theta series of degree 2 attached to maximal lattices; we construct maps for which the average theta series is an eigenform. We evaluate the action of these maps on Siegel Eisenstein series of degree 2 (without knowing their Fourier coefficients), and then realise the average theta series as an explicit linear combination of the Eisenstein series.

§1. Introduction

Quadratic forms are ubiquitous in mathematics and physics, as they capture geometry on vector spaces. In number theory we focus on questions about the integers; thus given a vector space V equipped with a quadratic form Q, we are interested in lattices $K \approx \mathbb{Z}^m$ contained in V. Siegel asked, with T another quadratic form of dimension $n \leq m$, on how many sublattices of K does Q restrict to T? In his Hauptsatz, Siegel used analytic number theory's circle method to show that, "on average", the number of times K represents T is given by a product of p-adic densities. Siegel also introduced generalised theta series whose Fourier coefficients are these representation numbers; he proved that in the case that Q is positive definite and $m \geq 2n+3$, the average theta series (averaging over the genus of K) lies in the space of Siegel Eisenstein series. (This latter result is elegently reproved by Freitag in [3] in the case m is even.)

In this paper we take these results one step further, showing that with K a maximal lattice of odd level $\mathcal{N}$ and rank $2k \geq 8$,

$$\theta(genK) = \sum_{\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{N}_2=\mathcal{N}} c_1(\mathcal{N}_1)c(\mathcal{N}_2)\mathbb{E}_{(\mathcal{N}_0,\mathcal{N}_1,\mathcal{N}_2)}$$

where the $\mathbb{E}_{(\mathcal{N}_0,\mathcal{N}_1,\mathcal{N}_2)}$ form a natural basis for the space of degree 2 Siegel Eisenstein series containing $\theta(\text{gen}K)$, and $c_1(\mathcal{N}_1), c_2(\mathcal{N}_2)$ are multiplicative functions such

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F41.

Key words and phrases. Siegel Theta Series, Eisenstein Series, Hecke Operators.

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

that, for q prime and χ the character associated to $\theta(K)$,

$$c_1(q) = \begin{cases} -q^{-1} & \text{if } \chi_q = 1, \\ \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\left(\frac{\eta_q}{q}\right) q^{-1}\mathbf{g}(q) & \text{if } \chi_q \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_q K \simeq 2\langle 1, -1, \dots, 1, -1, \eta_q\rangle \perp 2q\langle \eta'_q\rangle$ when $\chi_q \neq 1$ and $\mathbf{g}(q)$ is the classical Gauss sum, and

$$c_2(q) = \begin{cases} q^{-2} & \text{if } \chi_q = 1, \\ \left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) q^{-1} & \text{if } \chi_q \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Our strategy here is to construct from K lattices in the genus of K, and then to use maps on modular forms to mimic these lattice constructions. Thus for each prime $q|\mathcal{N}$ we build a map $T_K(q)$ for which the average theta series $\theta(\text{gen}K)$ is an eigenform (note that this was also our strategy in [16] where we considered the case of Siegel degree 1). The map $T_K(q)$ is an algebraic combination of Hecke operators, the standard shift operator, as well as several "twist" operators (defined in §3). As the shift operator associated to q maps modular forms of square-free level $\mathcal{N}$ to modular forms of level $q\mathcal{N}$, we need to compute the action of the Hecke and twist operators on Eisenstein series of level $q\mathcal{N}$; note that this is done without having any knowledge of the Fourier coefficients of these Eisenstein series. (These computations comprise the bulk of the work in this paper.) The fact that $T_K(q)$ raises the level gives us the leverage we need to show that there is a unique Eisenstein series $\mathbb{E}$ of level $\mathcal{N}$ and with 0th Fourier coefficient 1 so that for each prime $q|\mathcal{N}$, $\mathbb{E}|T_K(q)$ has level $\mathcal{N}$.

Together with closed-form formulas for the Fourier coefficients of the Siegel Eisenstein series, our result herein will produce closed-form formulas for the average representation numbers of maximal lattices of odd level. Many authors have produced closed-form formulas for the Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series under various conditions (for instance, see [8], [10], [11] for level 1, degree 2; [6] for level 1, degree 3; [1], [2], [7], [9] for level 1, arbitrary degree; [12] for degree 2, odd square-free level $\mathcal{N}$, primitive character, and the Eisenstein series associated to $\Gamma_\infty\backslash\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$; [15] for degree 2, arbitrary level $\mathcal{N}$, primitive character, and the Eisenstein series associated to $\Gamma_\infty\backslash\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$). So as yet, we do not have such formulas for the Fourier coefficients of a basis for the space of Siegel Eisenstein series containing $\theta(\text{gen}K)$, but this is an active area of research.

We should note that Siegel's Hauptsatz is not just restricted to positive definite quadratic forms. When Q is indefinite, Siegel considered the "measure" of the representation, measuring the density of subspaces of L on which Q restricts to T. Siegel also introduced (non-holomorphic) theta series whose Fourier coefficients reflect these densities, but the situation here is noteably more complicated (see [4] for a derivation of explicit formulas for these measures in the case of Siegel degree 1).

§2. Preliminaries

Given a dimension $2k$ vector space V with quadratic form Q, we say a lattice $K \approx \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$ in V is even integral if $Q(K) \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$; note that we can always scale Q to accomplish this. The symmetric bilinear form B that we associate to Q is given by the relation $Q(x+y) = Q(x) + Q(y) + 2B(x,y)$ (so $Q(x) = B(x,x)$). Let $(v_1, \dots, v_{2k})$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for K; slightly abusing notation, we write Q for the matrix $\big(B(v_i, v_j)\big)$, which represents the quadratic form relative to the given basis, and we write $K \simeq (B(v_i, v_j))$. Note that since $Q(K) \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$, Q is an even integral matrix (meaning integral with even diagonal entries).

We assume from now on that Q is positive definite with $k \geq 4$, and that K is a maximal even integral lattice that is unimodular at 2 (meaning Q is invertible over $\mathbb{Z}_2$). The level of K is the smallest positive integer $\mathcal{N}$ so that $\mathcal{N}Q^{-1}$ is also even integral. This means that for p prime, $p \nmid \mathcal{N}$ if and only if $\mathbb{Z}_p K$ is unimodular; since K is maximal, for a prime $q | \mathcal{N}$ we have

$$\mathbb{Z}_q K \simeq \begin{cases} 2\langle 1, -1, \dots, 1, -1, \eta \rangle \perp 2q\langle \eta' \rangle & \text{if } q \parallel \mathcal{N}, \\ 2\langle 1, -1, \dots, 1, -1, 1, -\omega \rangle \perp 2q\langle 1, -\omega \rangle & \text{if } q^2 | \mathcal{N} \end{cases}$$

where $\eta, \eta' \in \mathbb{Z}_q^\times$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}$ is chosen so that $\left(\frac{\omega}{q}\right) = -1$ and $\langle *, \dots, * \rangle$ denotes a diagonal matrix.

The degree 2 Siegel theta series is defined as follows. For

$$\tau \in \mathcal{H}_{(2)}\{X + iY : \ X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{2,2}_{\text{sym}}, \ Y > 0 \ \},$$

set

$$\theta(L; \tau) = \sum_C \mathrm{e}\{\, {}^t C Q C \tau\}$$

where C varies over $\mathbb{Z}^{2k,2}$ and $\mathrm{e}\{*\} = \exp(\pi i Tr(*))$. (Here $Y > 0$ means that, as a quadratic form, Y is positive definite.) Since Q is positive definite, this series is absolutely uniformly convergent on compact regions, and hence is an analytic function (in all variables of τ). As a Fourier series, we have

$$\theta(L; \tau) = \sum_T r(Q, T) \mathrm{e}\{T\tau\}$$

where T varies over all positive, semi-definite, even integral 2×2 matrices, and

$$r(Q, T) = \{C \in \mathbb{Z}^{2k,2} : \ {}^t C Q C = T \ \},$$

which is the number of times Q represents T. For $C \in \mathbb{Z}^{2k,2}$, let $(y_1 \ y_2) = (v_1 \ \dots \ v_{2k})C$ and set $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}y_1 + \mathbb{Z}y_2$; thus Λ is a sublattice of L with (formal) rank 2 and quadratic form ${}^t C Q C$. Hence we can rewrite the theta series as

$$\theta(L; \tau) = \sum_\Lambda \mathrm{e}\{\Lambda \tau\}$$

where Λ varies over all sublattices of L of (formal) rank 2, and with T_Λ a matrix for Q restricted to Λ,

$$\mathrm{e}\{\Lambda\tau\} = \sum_G \mathrm{e}\{\,{}^tGT_\Lambda G\tau\};$$

when k is even, G varies over $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and when k is odd, we equip Λ with an orientation and G varies over $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

This generalised theta series is a prototypical example of a degree 2 Siegel modular form of weight k and level $\mathcal{N}$, meaning that for $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathcal{N}|C$,

$$\theta(K;(A\tau+B)(C\tau+D)^{-1}) = \chi(\det D)\,\det(C\tau+D)^k\,\theta(K;\tau).$$

Here χ is the character modulo $\mathcal{N}$ given by

$$\chi(d) = (\mathrm{sgn} d)^k \left(\frac{(-1)^k\ \mathrm{disc}K}{|d|}\right)$$

where $\mathrm{disc}K = \det Q = \det\big(B(v_i,v_j)\big)$ and $\left(\frac{*}{*}\right)$ is the Jacobi symbol extended so that $\left(\frac{*}{2}\right)$ denotes the Kronecker symbol.

Since K is even integral and unimodular at 2, we have

$$\mathbb{Z}_2K \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \perp \ldots \perp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \perp \ldots \perp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \perp \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $(-1)^k\ \mathrm{disc}K \equiv 1\ (4)$, and given the structure of $\mathbb{Z}_qK$ for $q|\mathcal{N}$, we have $\mathrm{disc}K = \Delta_0\Delta_1^2$ where $\Delta_0, \Delta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$; so $(-1)^k\Delta_0 \equiv 1\ (4)$, and since Q is positive definite, $\Delta_0 > 0$. Note that by Quadratic Reciprocity and the fact that $\left(\frac{2}{\Delta_0}\right) = (-1)^{(\Delta_0^2-1)/2}$, for p prime we have $\chi(p) = \left(\frac{(-1)^k\ \mathrm{disc}K}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{\Delta_0}\right)$. Hence $\chi(d) = \left(\frac{d}{\mathrm{disc}K}\right)$, and for a prime $q|\mathcal{N}$, $\chi_q = 1$ if and only if

$$\mathbb{Z}_qK \simeq 2\langle 1,-1,\ldots,1,-1,1,-\omega\rangle \perp 2q\langle 1,-\omega\rangle.$$

Suppose K, M are lattices on V (meaning K, M are lattices in V with $\mathbb{Q}K = \mathbb{Q}M = V$). Then it is well-known that there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $v_1,\ldots,v_{2k}$ for K and rational numbers $r_1,\ldots,r_{2k}$ so that $r_1v_1,\ldots,r_{2k}v_{2k}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for M with $r_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Z}r_i$; the numbers r_i are called the invariant factors (or elementary divisors) of M in K, and we write $\{K:M\}$ to denote $(r_1,\ldots,r_{2k})$. We say K and M are isometric, denoted $K \simeq M$, if there is an isomorphism σ from K onto M so that $B(\sigma x,\sigma y) = B(x,y)$ for every $x,y \in K$. The orthogonal group of K, denoted $O(K)$, is the set of isometries from K to itself. We say M is in the genus of K, denoted $\mathrm{gen}K$, if for every prime p, $\mathbb{Z}_pM \simeq \mathbb{Z}_pK$.

Since Q is positive definite, it is known that there are finitely many isometry classes within $\mathrm{gen}K$, and that the orthogonal group for any lattice in V is finite. We define the average theta series to be

$$\theta(\mathrm{gen}K) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{mass}\,K}\sum_{\mathrm{cls}M\in\mathrm{gen}K}\frac{1}{o(M)}\theta(M)$$

where $\mathrm{cls}M$ varies over all the isometry classes in $\mathrm{gen}K$, $o(M)$ is the order of $O(M)$, and

$$\mathrm{mass}\,K = \sum_{\mathrm{cls}M\in\mathrm{gen}K} \frac{1}{o(M)}.$$

Hence the 0th Fourier coefficient of $\theta(\mathrm{gen}K)$ is 1, and the Tth coefficient is the average number of times T is represented by the lattices in the genus of K.

We know that $\theta(\mathrm{gen}K)$ lies in the space of degree 2 Siegel Eisenstein series of weight k, level $\mathcal{N}$, and character χ (see [3]). The elements in a natural basis for this space correspond to elements of $\Gamma_\infty\backslash Sp_2(\mathbb{Z})/\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ where

$$\Gamma_\infty = \left\{\gamma \in Sp_2(\mathbb{Z}) :\ \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}\ \right\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}) = \left\{\gamma \in Sp_2(\mathbb{Z}) :\ \gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}\ (\mathcal{N})\ \right\}.$$

For $\gamma_0 \in Sp_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the Eisenstein series associated to $\Gamma_\infty\gamma_0\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ is

$$\mathbb{E}_{\gamma_0}(\tau) = \sum \overline{\chi}(\det D_\gamma)\, 1|\gamma(\tau)$$

where $\Gamma_\infty\gamma$ varies through the $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$-orbit of $\Gamma_\infty\gamma_0$, and

$$1|\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}(\tau) = \det(C\tau + D)^{-k}.$$

Since $k \geq 4$, $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma_0}$ is absolutely convergent and analytic (in all variables of τ); for $\gamma' \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$, $\Gamma_\infty\gamma\gamma'$ varies over the $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$-orbit of $\Gamma_\infty\gamma_0$ as $\Gamma_\infty\gamma$ does, and hence $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma_0}|\gamma' = \chi(\det D_{\gamma'})\,\mathbb{E}_{\gamma_0}$. As noted in [3], these Eisenstein series are linearly independent, and the 0th Fourier coefficient of $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma_0}$ is 0 unless $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$, in which case it is 1.

With $\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ M_0 & N_0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ M & N \end{pmatrix}$, we have $\Gamma_\infty\gamma_0\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}) = \Gamma_\infty\gamma\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ if and only if, for all primes $q|\mathcal{N}$, $\mathrm{rank}_q M_0 = \mathrm{rank}_q M$, where rank_q denotes rank modulo q. Thus for each (multiplicative) partition $\rho = (\mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2)$ of $\mathcal{N}$ (so $\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{N}_2 = \mathcal{N}$), take M_ρ to be a diagonal matrix so that for each prime $q|\mathcal{N}$, modulo q we have

$$M_\rho \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q|\mathcal{N}_0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } q|\mathcal{N}_1, \\ I & \text{if } q|\mathcal{N}_2. \end{cases}$$

Then set $\mathbb{E}_\rho = \mathbb{E}_{\gamma_\rho}$ where $\gamma_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ M_\rho & I \end{pmatrix}$. Hence a natural basis for this space of Eisenstein series is

$$\{\mathbb{E}_\rho :\ \rho = (\mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2),\ \mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{N}_2 = \mathcal{N}\ \}.$$

When $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ M & N \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $(M\ N)$ is a coprime symmetric pair, meaning that M, N are integral matrices with $M\,{}^tN$ symmetric, and $(GM\ GN)$ is integral only if G is. (Here ${}^tN$ denotes the transpose of N.) Conversely, given a coprime symmetric pair $(M\ N)$, there is some $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ M & N \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that $(M\ N)$ is a coprime pair if and only if, for every prime p, $\operatorname{rank}_p(M\ N) = 2$. It will be more convenient for us to describe $\mathbb{E}_\rho$ in terms of coprime symmetric pairs. We say $(M\ N)$ is $\mathcal{N}$-type ρ if $(M\ N)$ is a coprime symmetric pair so that $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M\ N)$ is in the $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$-orbit of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M_\rho\ I)$, or equivalently, $(M\ N)$ is a coprime symmetric pair so that for each prime $q|\mathcal{N}$, $\operatorname{rank}_q M = \operatorname{rank}_q M_\rho$; sometimes we simply say M is $\mathcal{N}$-type ρ, and for q prime, we simply say $(M\ N)$ is q-type i when $(M\ N)$ is a coprime symmetric pair with $\operatorname{rank}_q M = i$. So $\mathbb{E}_\rho$ can be defined as a sum over a set of representatives for the $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalence classes of $\mathcal{N}$-type ρ. Note that

$$GL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M_\rho\ I) = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M_\rho\ I) \cup SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M_\rho\ I) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

so we can consider $2\mathbb{E}_\rho$ to be supported on a set of representatives for the $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalence classes of $\mathcal{N}$-type ρ, and for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ so that $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M\ N) = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M_\rho\ I)\gamma$, we can describe $\chi(\det D_\gamma)$ in terms of M, N, ρ as follows.

Suppose $(M\ N) = (M_\rho\ I)\gamma$ where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$ and $\rho = (\mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2)$ is a (multiplicative) partition of $\mathcal{N}$. For each prime $q|\mathcal{N}_0$, we have $N \equiv D\ (q)$, so $\chi_q(\det D) = \chi_q(\det N)$. For each prime $q|\mathcal{N}_2$, we have $M \equiv A \equiv {}^t\overline{D}\ (q)$, so $\chi_q(\det D) = \overline{\chi}_q(\det M)$. Now take a prime $q|\mathcal{N}_1$; write $D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \\ d_3 & d_4 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $A\,{}^tD \equiv I\ (q)$,

$$A \equiv \overline{\det D} \begin{pmatrix} d_4 & -d_3 \\ -d_2 & d_1 \end{pmatrix},\ M \equiv \overline{\det D} \begin{pmatrix} d_4 & -d_3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ N \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ d_3 & d_4 \end{pmatrix}\ (q).$$

We know $q \nmid (d_3\ d_4)$ and $\chi^2 = 1$, so $\chi_q(\det D) = \chi_q(m_1)\chi_q(n_4)$ or $\chi_q(-m_2)\chi_q(n_3)$, whichever is non-zero. Take $E \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ so that q divides row 2 of EM; thus $E \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}\ (q)$, and with $M = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ qm_3 & qm_4 \end{pmatrix}$, $N = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 \\ n_3 & n_4 \end{pmatrix}$, $EM = \begin{pmatrix} m_1' & m_2' \\ qm_3' & qm_4' \end{pmatrix}$, $EN = \begin{pmatrix} n_1' & n_2' \\ n_3' & n_4' \end{pmatrix}$, we have $\chi_q(m_1')\chi_q(n_4') = \chi_q(m_1)\chi_q(n_4)$ and $\chi_q(-m_2')\chi_q(n_3') = \chi_q(-m_2)\chi_q(n_3)$. So when $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M\ N) = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M_\rho\ I)\gamma$ and $q|\mathcal{N}_1$, we can choose $E \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $EM = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ qm_3 & qm_4 \end{pmatrix}$, $EN = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 \\ n_3 & n_4 \end{pmatrix}$; thus, setting $\chi_{(1,q,1)}(M, N) = \chi_q(m_1)\chi_q(n_4)$ or $\chi_q(-m_2)\chi_q(n_3)$ (whichever is non-zero), we have $\chi_q(\det D) = \chi_{(1,q,1)}(M, N)$. We set

$$\chi_\rho(M, N) = \prod_{q|\mathcal{N}_0} \chi_q(\det N) \prod_{q|\mathcal{N}_1} \chi_{(1,q,1)}(M, N) \prod_{q|\mathcal{N}_2} \overline{\chi}_q(\det M).$$

Hence $2\mathbb{E}_\rho(\tau) = \sum \overline{\chi}_\rho(M,N)\det(M\tau+N)^{-k}$ where $(M\ N)$ varies over a set of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalence class representatives for pairs of $\mathcal{N}$-type ρ. Also note that for $G \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $\chi_\rho(GM,GN) = \chi_\rho(M,N)$, and since $\begin{pmatrix} G & \\ & {}^tG^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $\chi_\rho(MG, N\,{}^tG^{-1}) = \chi_\rho(M,N)$.

We will often use the theory of quadratic forms over a finite field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ where p is an odd prime. For V a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ equipped with a quadratic form Q and associated bilinear form B, we say a non-zero vector v is isotropic if $Q(v) = 0$, and we define the radical of V to be

$$\mathrm{rad}V = \{v \in V : B(v,w) = 0 \text{ for all } w \in V\ \};$$

we say V is regular if $\mathrm{rad}V$ is trivial. When V is regular with dimension 2, either $V \simeq \mathbb{H}$ or $\mathbb{A}$ where $\mathbb{H} \simeq \langle 1, -1\rangle$ is called a hyperbolic plane, and with ω a non-square in $\mathbb{F}$, $\mathbb{A} \simeq \langle 1, -\omega\rangle$ is called an anisotropic plane. Note we also have $\mathbb{H} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. For $T, T' \in \mathbb{F}^{2,2}_{\mathrm{sym}}$, we write $T \simeq T'$ if there is some $G \in GL_2(\mathbb{F})$ so that ${}^tGTG = T'$.

§3. Defining Hecke, shift, and twist operators

For each prime p, we have Hecke operators $T(p)$ and $T_1(p^2)$ that act on degree 2 Siegel modular forms, and $\{T(p), T_1(p^2) :\ p \text{ prime }\}$ generates the Hecke algebra. For f a degree 2 Siegel modular form of weight k, level $\mathcal{N}'$, and character χ', and for $\gamma' = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_2(\mathbb{Q})$, we set

$$f(\tau)|\gamma' = (\det\gamma')^{k/2}\det(C\tau+D)^{-k} f(\gamma'\circ\tau).$$

Then

$$f|T(p) = p^{k-3}\sum_\gamma \overline{\chi}'(\det D_\gamma) f|\delta^{-1}\gamma$$

where $\delta = \begin{pmatrix} pI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and γ varies over a set of coset representatives for

$$\left(\delta\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}')\delta^{-1} \cap \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}')\right)\backslash\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}').$$

Somewhat similarly,

$$f|T_1(p^2) = p^{k-3}\sum_\gamma \overline{\chi}'(\det D_\gamma) f|\delta_1^{-1}\gamma$$

where $\delta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} X & \\ & X^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, $X = \begin{pmatrix} p & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and γ varies over a set of coset representatives for

$$\left(\delta_1\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}')\delta_1^{-1} \cap \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}')\right)\backslash\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}').$$

By Propositions 2.1, 3.1 and Theorem 6.1 of [5], for a prime $q|\mathcal{N}$ we know

$$f|T(q) = q^{k-3}\sum_{Y} f|\delta^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} I & Y \\ & I \end{pmatrix}$$

where $Y \in \mathbb{Z}^{2,2}_{\text{sym}}$ varies modulo q, and

$$f|T_1(q^2) = q^{k-3}\sum_{G,Y} f|\delta_1^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} G^{-1} & Y\,{}^tG \\ & {}^tG \end{pmatrix}$$

where G varies over

$$\mathcal{G}_1(q) = \left\{ E \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) :\ E \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}\ (q) \right\} \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$$

and $Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \\ y_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with y_1 varying modulo q^2, y_2 varying modulo q.

We define $B(q)$ by $f|B(q)(\tau) = f(q\tau)$.

We define $A_0^*(q)$ by

$$f|A_0^*(q) = \sum_{G,Y} f|\begin{pmatrix} {}^tG^{-1} & YG/q \\ & G \end{pmatrix}$$

where $Y = \begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with y varying modulo q, and G varies over $\mathcal{G}_1(q)$. Normalising, we define $A_0(q) = \frac{1}{q}A_0^*(q)$.

We define $A_1^*(q)$ by

$$f|A_1^*(q) = \sum_{G,Y}\left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) f|\begin{pmatrix} G^{-1} & Y\,{}^tG/q \\ & {}^tG \end{pmatrix}$$

where G varies as above and $Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \\ y_2 & y_4 \end{pmatrix}$ varies over $\mathbb{Z}^{2,2}_{\text{sym}}$ modulo q. Normalising, we define $A_1(q) = \frac{1}{q^2\mathbf{g}(q)}A_1^*(q)$ where $\mathbf{g}(q)$ is the standard Gauss sum, defined by

$$\mathbf{g}(q) = \sum_{a\,(q)} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i a^2/q}.$$

We define $A_2^*(q)$ by

$$f|A_2^*(q) = \sum_{Y}\left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right) f|\begin{pmatrix} I & Y/q \\ & I \end{pmatrix}$$

where Y varies over $\mathbb{Z}^{2,2}_{\text{sym}}$ modulo q. Normalising, we define $A_2(q) = \frac{1}{q}\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)A_2^*(q)$.

Say $E\in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $E\equiv\begin{pmatrix}*&0\\ *&*\end{pmatrix}$ (q). Then $E^{-1}Y\,{}^tE^{-1}$ varies over $\mathbb{Z}^{2,2}_{\text{sym}}$ modulo q as Y does, and ${}^tE\begin{pmatrix}\alpha&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix}E=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha'&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix}$ with α' varying modulo q as α does. From this it is straight-forward to verify that the maps $A_i(q)$ are well-defined. Note that we may choose the matrices G so that, with $q^r||\mathcal{N}'$, we may choose

$$G\equiv\begin{pmatrix}1&\alpha\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\text{ or }\begin{pmatrix}0&-1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}\ (q),$$

and $G\equiv I\ (\mathcal{N}'/q^r)$. Similarly, we may choose $Y\equiv 0\ (\mathcal{N}'/q^r)$.

Then we have the following.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose f is a degree 2 Siegel modular form of weight k, level $\mathcal{N}'$ and character χ'. Then $f|B(q)$ is a degree 2 Siegel modular form of weight k, level $q\mathcal{N}'$ and character χ'; for $i=0,1,2$, $f|A_i(q)$ is a degree 2 Siegel modular form of weight k, level lcm$(\mathcal{N}',q^2)$ and character χ'. With $c(T)$ denoting the Tth coefficient of f, the Tth coefficient of $f|A_0(q)$ is*

$$\begin{cases}2c(T) & \text{if } T\simeq\mathbb{H}\ (q),\\ 0 & \text{if } T\simeq\mathbb{A}\ (q),\\ qc(T) & \text{if } T\simeq\langle 0,2\nu\rangle\ (q),\ \nu\not\equiv 0\ (q),\\ (q+1)c(T) & \text{if } T\simeq\langle 0,0\rangle\ (q).\end{cases}$$

The Tth coefficient of $f|A_1(q)$ is $\left(\frac{\nu}{q}\right)c(T)$ if $T\simeq\langle 2\nu,0\rangle\ (q)$, and 0 otherwise. The Tth coefficient of $f|A_2(q)$ is

$$\begin{cases}qc(T) & \text{if } T\simeq\langle 0,*\rangle\ (q),\\ -c(T) & \text{if } T\simeq\mathbb{H}\text{ or }\mathbb{A}\ (q).\end{cases}$$

Proof. It is easy to see $B(q)$ raises the level by q. To see that the $A_i(q)$ map forms to level lcm$(\mathcal{N}',q^2)$, we first consider $i=1$. Take $\gamma=\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\C&D\end{pmatrix}\in\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}')$ with $q^2|C$, $G\in\mathcal{G}_1(q)$, and $Y\in\mathbb{Z}^{2,2}_{\text{sym}}$; set $\alpha=\det A$. Then $\det{}^tDG\begin{pmatrix}1&\\&\alpha\end{pmatrix}\equiv 1\ (q)$, so there is some $E\in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $E\equiv{}^tDG\begin{pmatrix}1&\\&\alpha\end{pmatrix}$ (q). Set $U=\begin{pmatrix}1&\\&\overline{\alpha}\end{pmatrix}Y\begin{pmatrix}1&\\&\overline{\alpha}\end{pmatrix}$ where $\overline{\alpha}\in\mathbb{Z}$ so that $\alpha\overline{\alpha}\equiv 1\ (q)$. Then E varies over $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ modulo q as G does; for fixed G and E, U varies over $\mathbb{Z}^{2,2}_{\text{sym}}$ modulo q as Y does, and $\left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{u_1}{q}\right)$. Also, with

$$\gamma'=\begin{pmatrix}A'&B'\\C'&D'\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}G^{-1}&\frac{1}{q}Y\,{}^tG\\&{}^tG\end{pmatrix}\gamma\begin{pmatrix}E&-\frac{1}{q}EU\\&{}^tE^{-1}\end{pmatrix},$$

we have $\gamma' \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}')$ with $q^2|C'$ and $\det D' \equiv \det D\ (q)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f|A_1'(q)|\gamma &= \sum_{G,Y} \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) f| \begin{pmatrix} G^{-1} & \frac{1}{q}Y\,{}^tG \\ & {}^tG \end{pmatrix} |\gamma \\ &= \sum_{E,U} \left(\frac{u_1}{q}\right) f|\gamma'| \begin{pmatrix} E^{-1} & \frac{1}{q}U\,{}^tE \\ & {}^tE \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \chi'(\det D)\, f|A_1'(q). \end{aligned}$$

Similar arguments show that $f|A_i(q)|\gamma = \chi'(\det D)\, f|A_i(q)$ for $i = 0, 2$.

Let us now consider the effect of the $A_i(q)$ on Fourier coefficients. Since we have $Tr(AB) = Tr(BA)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tau)|A_0^*(q) &= \sum_{T,G,Y} c(T)\mathrm{e}\{T\,{}^tG^{-1}\tau G^{-1} + TY/q\} \\ &= \sum_{T,G,Y} c(T)\mathrm{e}\{G^{-1}T\,{}^tG^{-1}\tau\} \sum_Y \mathrm{e}\{TY/q\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $G \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $c(T) = c(GT\,{}^tG)$, so changing variables we get

$$f(\tau)|A_0^*(q) = \sum_T c(T)\mathrm{e}\{T\tau\} \sum_{G,Y} \mathrm{e}\{GT\,{}^tGY/q\}.$$

Let $V = \mathbb{F}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}x_2$ be equipped with the quadratic form Q given by T relative to the basis $(x_1\ x_2)$. Then with $(x_1'\ x_2') = (x_1\ x_2)\,{}^tG$, Q is given by $GT\,{}^tG$ relative to $(x_1'\ x_2')$, and $\mathbb{F}x_1'$ varies over all lines in V as G varies; thus the sum on Y tests whether $\mathbb{F}x_1'$ is isotropic (over $\mathbb{F}$). So the sum on G and Y is q times the number of isotropic lines in V; thus the sum is

$$\begin{cases} 2q & \text{if } T \simeq \mathbb{H}, \\ 0 & \text{if } T \simeq \mathbb{A}, \\ q^2 & \text{if } T \simeq \langle 0, 2\nu\rangle,\ \nu \neq 0, \\ q(q+1) & \text{if } T \simeq \langle 0, 0\rangle. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tau)|A_1^*(q) &= \sum_{G,Y,T} \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) c(T)\,\mathrm{e}\left\{TG^{-1}\tau\,{}^tG^{-1} + TY\right\} \\ &= \sum_{G,T} c(T)\,\mathrm{e}\{T\tau\} \sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) \mathrm{e}\{{}^tGTGY/q\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $V = \mathbb{F}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}x_2 \simeq T$ (relative to $(x_1\ x_2)$). For $G \in \mathcal{G}_1(q)$ and $(x_1'\ x_2') = (x_1\ x_2)G$, Q is represented by ${}^tGTG\ (q)$ relative to the basis $(x_1'\ x_2')$, and $\mathbb{F}x_2'$ varies over all lines in V as G varies. Also,

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) \mathrm{e}\left\{{}^tGTGY/q\right\} = 0$$

unless $\mathbb{F}x_1'$ is an anisotropic line and $\mathbb{F}x_2' = \text{rad}V$ (which is only possible in the case that $\dim \text{rad}V = 1$). So suppose $\dim \text{rad}V = 1$. Then for 1 choice of $G \in \mathcal{G}_1(q)$ we have we have $\mathbb{F}x_2' = \text{rad}V$, so ${}^tGTG \equiv \langle 2\nu, 0\rangle$ (q) with $q \nmid \nu$, and the sum over Y gives us $\left(\frac{\nu}{q}\right)\mathbf{g}(q)$.

Evaluating the action of $A_2(q)$ on Fourier coefficients is similar; we need to use the fact that, summing over all $Y \in \mathbb{F}^{2,2}_{\text{sym}}$,

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right) \text{e}\{TY/q\} = \begin{cases} -q\mathbf{g}(q)^{-1} & \text{if } T \simeq \mathbb{H} \text{ or } \mathbb{A}\ (q), \\ q\mathbf{g}(q)^{-1}(q-1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(see Theorem 1.3 of [14]). □

§4. Building $T_K(q)$ so that $\theta(\mathbf{gen}K)$ as an eigenform, q a prime dividing $\mathcal{N}$

Recall that K is a maximal even integral lattice of rank $2k \geq 8$, square-free odd level $\mathcal{N}$ and associated character χ where $\chi^2 = 1$. Throughout this section, we fix a prime q dividing $\mathcal{N}$ and fix $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $\left(\frac{\omega}{q}\right) = -1$. Set $\epsilon = \left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)$ and let $\mathbb{F}$ denote $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$. Take R to be the preimage in K of $\text{rad}K/qK$. At the end of this section we will show that $\theta(\text{gen}R) = \theta(\text{gen}K)|T_{K/R}(q)$ and that $\theta(\text{gen}K)$ is an eigenform for $T_K(q)$, where these maps are defined as follows.

Definitions. Set

$$T_{K/R}(q) = \left[T_1(q^2)T(q) - \gamma T(q) + q^{k-1}\frac{\lambda(q)}{\lambda(p)}T(p)\right] B(q)$$

where $\gamma = (q^{2k-3}+1)(q+1) + q^{k-1}$, $\lambda(t) = (t^{k-1}+1)(t^{k-2}+1)$, and the prime p is chosen so that $\chi(p) = 1$ and for all primes $q'|\mathcal{N}/q$, $\chi_{q'}(p) = \chi_{q'}(q)$. (In Lemma 4.1 below we show such a prime p exists.) When $\chi_q = 1$, set

$$\begin{aligned} &T_K(q) = \\ &[T(q)^2 + aT_1(q^2) - q^{k-2}A_0(q)T_1(q^2) + cA_0(q) + q^{2k-1}T(q)B(q)] \\ &\quad + T_{K/R}(q)[q^{2k-4}T_1(q^2)T_1(q^2) + q^{2k-3}aT_1(q^2) - q^{3k-5}T_1(q^2)A_0(q) + q^{4k-6}] \end{aligned}$$

where $a = \frac{q^2(q^{2k-6}-1)}{(q-1)} + (q^{k-2}-1)$, $c = -\frac{q^{k+1}(q^{2k-6}-1)}{(q-1)} + q^{k-1}$. When $\chi_q \neq 1$, set

$$\begin{aligned} &T_K(q) = \\ &\left[T(q)^2 + aT_1(q^2) + \left(\frac{\eta}{q}\right)q^{k-2}A_1(q)T_1(q^2) + \left(\frac{\eta}{q}\right)q^{k-1}aA_1(q) + q^{2k-4}A_2(q)\right] \\ &+ T_{K/R}(q)\left[q^{2k-4}T_1(q^2)T_1(q^2) + q^{2k-3}aT_1(q^2) + \left(\frac{\eta}{q}\right)q^{3k-5}T_1(q^2)A_1(q) + q^{4k-6}\right] \end{aligned}$$

where $a = \frac{q(q^{2k-5}-1)}{(q-1)} - 1$ and $\mathbb{Z}_qK \simeq 2\langle 1, -1, \dots, 1, -1, \eta\rangle \perp 2q\langle \eta'\rangle$.

Here we prove that there exists a prime p as claimed in the above definitions.

Lemma 4.1. *Let q be a prime dividing $\mathcal{N}$. (1) Say $\chi_q \neq 1$; write*

$$\mathbb{Z}_q K \simeq 2\langle 1, -1, \dots, 1, -1, \eta\rangle \perp 2q\langle \eta' \rangle.$$

There exists a prime p so that $\chi(p) = 1$, $\chi_{q'}(p) = \chi_{q'}(q)$ for all primes $q'|\Delta_0/q$, and $\theta(genK)|T(p) = \lambda(p)\theta(genK^*)$ where $\lambda(p) = (p^{k-1}+1)(p^{k-2}+1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{Z}_q K^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}_q K^{\eta\eta'}, \\ &\mathbb{Z}_{q'} K^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{q'} K^q \textit{ for all primes } q'|\Delta_0/q, \\ &\mathbb{Z}_\ell K^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell K \textit{ for all primes } \ell \nmid \Delta_0. \end{aligned}$$

(2) For $\chi_q = 1$, there exists a prime p so that $\chi(p) = 1$, $\chi_{q'}(p) = \chi_{q'}(q)$ for all primes $q'|\Delta_0$, and $\theta(genK)|T(p) = \lambda(p)\theta(genK^*)$ where $\lambda(p) = (p^{k-1}+1)(p^{k-2}+1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{Z}_{q'} K^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{q'} K^q \textit{ for all primes } q'|\Delta_0, \\ &\mathbb{Z}_\ell K^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell K \textit{ for all primes } \ell \nmid \Delta_0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Take $\Delta_0, \Delta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $\operatorname{disc} K = \Delta_0\Delta_1^2$ (and hence $\mathcal{N} = \Delta_0\Delta_1$). So for $q|\mathcal{N}$, we have $\chi_q \neq 1$ if and only if $q|\Delta_0$. By the argument used to prove Theorem 1.2 (1) of [17], when $\chi(p) = 1$ we get $\theta(\operatorname{gen}K)|T(p) = \lambda(p)\theta(\operatorname{gen}K^*)$ where $\mathbb{Z}_p K^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p K$ and $\mathbb{Z}_\ell K^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell K^p$ for all other primes ℓ. (K^p denotes the lattice K whose quadratic form has been scaled by p.) For any prime ℓ, the Jordan components of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell K$ have even rank unless $\ell|\Delta_0$, so for $\ell \nmid \Delta_0 p$, we have $\mathbb{Z}_\ell K^p \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell K$. Thus to prove the lemma, we need to show there exists a prime p so that $\chi(p) = 1$, $\left(\frac{p}{q'}\right) = \left(\frac{q}{q'}\right)$ for all primes $q' \neq q$ with $q'|\Delta_0$, and $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{\eta\eta'}{q}\right)$ if $q|\Delta_0$.

(1) Suppose $q|\Delta_0$. Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem and Dirichlet's Theorem, we can choose a prime $p \nmid \mathcal{N}$ so that $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{\eta\eta'}{q}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{p}{q'}\right) = \left(\frac{q}{q'}\right)$ for all primes $q'|\Delta_0/q$. Note that since $\operatorname{disc}\mathbb{Z}_q K = (-1)^{k-1}\eta\eta' q$, we have

$$\eta\eta' \equiv (-1)^{k-1}\Delta_0/q \cdot u^2 \ (q)$$

for some $u \not\equiv 0\ (q)$. As discussed in §2, $(-1)^k \operatorname{disc} K \equiv 1\ (4)$, so $(-1)^{k-1}\Delta_0/q \equiv -q\ (4)$. If $q \equiv 1\ (4)$ then $\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) = 1$ and by Quadratic Reciprocity $\left(\frac{\eta\eta'}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{q}{\Delta_0/q}\right)$. If $q \equiv -1\ (4)$ then $\Delta_0/q \equiv (-1)^{k-1}\ (4)$ and hence

$$\left(\frac{\eta\eta'}{q}\right) = (-1)^{k-1} \cdot (-1)^{(\Delta_0/q-1)/2}\left(\frac{q}{\Delta_0/q}\right) = \left(\frac{q}{\Delta_0/q}\right).$$

In either case,

$$\chi(p) = \left(\frac{p}{\Delta_0}\right) = \left(\frac{q}{\Delta_0/q}\right)\left(\frac{\eta\eta'}{q}\right) = 1.$$

(2) Now suppose $q|\Delta_1$; so

$$\mathbb{Z}_qK \simeq 2\langle 1,-1,\dots,1,-1,1,-\omega\rangle \perp 2q\langle 1,-\omega\rangle.$$

Choose a prime $p\nmid\mathcal{N}$ so that $\left(\frac{p}{q'}\right)=\left(\frac{q}{q'}\right)$ for all primes $q'|\Delta_0$. We know $(-1)^kq^2\equiv\Delta_0u^2q^2\ (q^3)$ for some $u\not\equiv 0\ (q)$, so again using Quadratic Reciprocity and the fact that $\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)=(-1)^{(q-1)/2}$, we have $\left(\frac{(-1)^k\Delta_0}{q}\right)=1=\left(\frac{q}{\Delta_0}\right)$. Hence $\chi(p)=\left(\frac{p}{\Delta_0}\right)=\left(\frac{q}{\Delta_0}\right)=1$. □

To prove $T_{K/R}(q)$ and $T_K(q)$ have the action on $\theta(\mathrm{gen}K)$ as claimed, we first evaluate the action of the various maps used to construct $T_K(q)$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}_pR=\mathbb{Z}_pK$ for each prime $p\neq q$, and

$$\mathbb{Z}_qR\simeq\begin{cases}2q\langle\eta'\rangle\perp 2q^2\langle 1,-1,\dots,1,-1,\eta'\rangle & \text{if } \chi_q\neq 1,\\ 2q\langle 1,-\omega\rangle\perp 2q^2\langle 1,-1,\dots,1,-1,1,-\omega\rangle & \text{if } \chi_q=1.\end{cases}$$

By Proposition 1.4 of [16], we know that as K' varies over the isometry classes in $\mathrm{gen}K$, R' varies over the isometry classes in $\mathrm{gen}R$ (where R' denotes the preimage in K' of $\mathrm{rad}K'/qK'$), and $O(R')=O(K')$.

Let Ω be a sublattice of $\frac{1}{q}K$ with (formal) rank 2; throughout this section, we decompose Ω as $(\frac{1}{q}\Omega_0\oplus\Omega_1)+\Omega_2$ where $\Omega_2\subset R$, $\Omega_0\oplus\Omega_1$ is primitive in K modulo R, meaning that $\Omega_0,\Omega_1\subset K$, and with $d_i(\Omega)=\mathrm{rank}\Omega_i$, $\dim\overline{\Omega_0+\Omega_1}$ in K/R is $d_0(\Omega)+d_1(\Omega)$. When it is clear we are referring to Ω, we simply write d_i rather than $d_i(\Omega)$. Also, we will often write $\overline{\Omega}$ to refer to $\Omega/q\Omega$. Recall that $\mathcal{G}_1=\mathcal{G}_1(q)$ is a set of representatives for

$$\left\{G\in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}):\ G\equiv\begin{pmatrix}* & 0\\ * & *\end{pmatrix}\ (q)\right\}\backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

and we can choose the elements of $\mathcal{G}_1$ to be congruent modulo q to $\begin{pmatrix}1 & \alpha\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix}0 & -1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}$, and congruent modulo $\mathcal{N}/q$ to I.

Proposition 4.2. *We have*

$$\theta(K;\tau)|T(q)=\sum_{\substack{\Lambda\subset K\\ \overline{\Lambda}\simeq<0,0>}}e\{\Lambda\tau/q\},$$

and $\theta(R;\tau)|T(q)=\theta(R;\tau/q)$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 6.1 of [5]. □

Proposition 4.3. *For* $\Omega\subset\frac{1}{q}K$*, write* d_i *for* $d_i(\Omega)$*. We have*

$$\theta(K;\tau)|T_1(q^2)=\sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset\frac{1}{q}K\\ d_0=1}}e\{\Omega\tau\}+(q+1)\theta(K;\tau),$$

and

$$\theta(R;\tau)|T_1(q^2)=\sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset K\\ d_1=1}}e\{\Omega\tau\}+(q+1)\theta(R;\tau).$$

Proof. We know

$$f|T_1(q^2)=q^{k-3}\sum f|\begin{pmatrix}X^{-1}&\\&X\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}G^{-1}&Y\,{}^tG\\&{}^tG\end{pmatrix}$$

where $X=\begin{pmatrix}q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}$, G varies over $\mathcal{G}_1$, and $Y=\begin{pmatrix}y_1&y_2\\y_2&\end{pmatrix}$ with y_1 varying modulo q^2, y_1 varying modulo q. For a sublattice Λ of K, let T_Λ be a matrix for Q restricted to Λ. Thus with G,Y varying as above and E varying over $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(K;\tau)|T_1(q^2)&=q^{-3}\sum_{\Lambda\subset K}\sum_{E,G,Y}\mathrm{e}\{{}^tET_\Lambda EX^{-1}(G^{-1}\tau+Y\,{}^tG)\,{}^tG^{-1}X^{-1}\}\\&=p^{-3}\sum_{\substack{\Lambda\subset K\\E,G}}\mathrm{e}\{{}^tG^{-1}X^{-1}\,{}^tET_\Lambda EX^{-1}G^{-1}\tau\}\\&\qquad\cdot\sum_{Y}\mathrm{e}\{({}^tET_\Lambda E)(X^{-1}YX^{-1})\}.\end{aligned}$$

The sum on Y is q^3 if $X^{-1}\,{}^tET_\Lambda EX^{-1}$ is integral, and 0 otherwise. When this sum is q^3, let $\Omega=\Lambda EX^{-1}$. Notice that with $\Omega'=\Lambda E'X^{-1}$, $E'\in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $\Omega=\Omega'$ if and only if $E^{-1}E'\equiv\begin{pmatrix}*&0\\ *&*\end{pmatrix}$ (q). Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(K;\tau)|T_1(q^2)&=\sum_{\substack{\Lambda\subset K\\E\in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})}}\ \sum_{\substack{\Omega\text{ integral}\\\{\Omega:\Lambda\}=(1,q)}}\mathrm{e}\{{}^tET_\Omega E\tau\}\\&=\sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset\frac{1}{q}K\\\Omega\text{ integral}}}\left(\sum_{\substack{\Lambda\subset K\\\{\Omega:\Lambda\}=(1,q)}}1\right)\mathrm{e}\{\Omega\tau\}.\end{aligned}$$

Using our standard decomposition of Ω, we see $d_0\le 1$ else there are no $\Lambda\subset K$ so that $\{\Omega:\Lambda\}=(1,q)$, and when $d_0=1$ the only choice for Λ is $\Omega\cap K$. If $d_0=0$ then there are $q+1$ choices for Λ (corresponding to the lines in $\Omega/q\Omega$).

Evaluating $\theta(R;\tau)|T_1(q^2)$ is similar, noting that for $x,y\in R$, we have $\mathbb{Z}\frac{1}{q}x\oplus\mathbb{Z}y$ integral if and only if $x\in qK$. □

Proposition 4.4. *Writing* $d_i = d_i(\Omega)$ *for* $\Omega \subset \frac{1}{q}K$*, we have*

$$\theta(R;\tau)|T_1(q^2)T(q) = \sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset K\\ \overline{\Omega}_1\simeq<0>}} e\{\Omega\tau/q\} + (q+1)\theta(R;\tau/q)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(R;\tau)|T_1(q^2)T_1(q^2) &= \sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset K\\ d_1=0}} e\{\Omega\tau\} + (q+1)\sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset K\\ d_1=2}} e\{\Omega\tau\}\\ &\quad + (2q+1)\sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset K\\ d_1=1}} e\{\Omega\tau\} + (q+1)^2\theta(R;\tau).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. To see $\theta(R;\tau)|T_1(q^2)T(q)$ is as claimed, first apply Proposition 4.3 and then use the fact that $T(q)$ annihilates terms with $\overline{\Omega}\not\simeq\langle 0,0,\rangle$ and replaces τ by τ/q.

Again using Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(R;\tau)|T_1(q^2)T_1(q^2) &= \sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset K\\ d_1=1}} \mathrm{e}\{\Omega\tau\}|T_1(q^2) + (q+1)\sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset K\\ d_1=1}} \mathrm{e}\{\Omega\tau\}\\ &\quad + (q+1)^2\theta(R;\tau).\end{aligned}$$

With $m(\Omega)$ is the number of lattices $\Lambda\subset K$ so that $d_1(\Lambda)=1$ and $\{\Omega:\Lambda\}=(1,q)$, we have

$$\sum_{d_0=0,\, d_1=1} \mathrm{e}\{\Omega\tau\}|T_1(q^2) = \sum_{\Omega\subset\frac{1}{q}K} m(\Omega)\mathrm{e}\{\Omega\tau\}.$$

Suppose $\Omega\not\subset K$; then to have $\Lambda\subset K$ so that $\{\Omega:\Lambda\}=(1,q)$, we must have $\Lambda=\Omega\cap K$ and $d_0(\Omega)+d_1(\Omega)=1$. Hence $m(\Omega)=1$ if $d_0(\Omega)=1$ and $d_1(\Omega)=0$, and otherwise $m(\Omega)=0$.

Now suppose $\Omega\subset K$. If $d_1(\Omega)=2$ then every line in $\overline{\Omega}$ corresponds to a sublattice Λ meeting the criteria. If $d_1(\Omega)=1$ then q lines in $\overline{\Omega}$ correspond to sublattices Λ meeting the criteria. If $d_1(\Omega)=0$ then no sublattices Λ meet the criteria. □

Proposition 4.5. *With* ν *denoting any non-zero value modulo* q*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta(K;\tau)|A_0(q)\\ &\quad = 2\sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset K\\ \overline{\Omega}\simeq\mathbb{H}}} e\{\Omega\tau\} + \sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset K\\ \overline{\Omega}\simeq<0,2\nu>}} e\{\Omega\tau\} + (q+1)\sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset L\\ \overline{\Omega}\simeq<0,0>}} e\{\Omega\tau\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta(K;\tau)|A_0(q)T_1(q^2)\\ &\quad = (2q+1)\theta(K;\tau) + \sum_{\substack{d_0=1\\ \overline{\Omega}_1\simeq<2\nu>}} e\{\Omega\tau\} + (q+1)\sum_{\substack{d_0=1\\ \overline{\Omega\cap K}\simeq<0,0>}} e\{\Omega\tau\}\\ &\qquad + q\sum_{\substack{d_0=0\\ \overline{\Omega}\simeq\mathbb{H}}} e\{\Omega\tau\} - q\sum_{\substack{d_0=0\\ \overline{\Omega}\simeq\mathbb{A}}} e\{\Omega\tau\} + q^2\sum_{\substack{d_0=0\\ \overline{\Omega}\simeq<0,0>}} e\{\Omega\tau\}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first claim follows immediately from the definition of $A_0(q)$, since $A_0(q)$ counts the number of isotropic lines in $\overline{\Omega}$. So using the definition of $T_1(q^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta(K;\tau)|A_0(q)T_1(q^2)\\
&\quad = 2\sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset K\\ \overline{\Omega}\simeq\mathbb{H}}}\ \sum_{\substack{\Lambda\text{ integral}\\ \{\Lambda:\Omega\}=(1,q)}} \mathrm{e}\{\Lambda\tau\} + \sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset K\\ \overline{\Omega}\simeq<0,2\nu>}}\ \sum_{\substack{\Lambda\text{ integral}\\ \{\Lambda:\Omega\}=(1,q)}} \mathrm{e}\{\Lambda\tau\}\\
&\qquad + (q+1)\sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset K\\ \overline{\Omega}\simeq<0,0>}}\ \sum_{\substack{\Lambda\text{ integral}\\ \{\Lambda:\Omega\}=(1,q)}} \mathrm{e}\{\Lambda\tau\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Changing the order of summation, we first sum over integral $\Lambda\subset\frac{1}{q}K$, then over $\Omega\subset\Lambda\cap K$ with $\{\Lambda:\Omega\}=(1,q)$. Now take integral $\Lambda\subset\frac{1}{q}K$; then for any Ω so that $\{\Lambda:\Omega\}=(1,q)$, $\overline{\Omega}$ will necessarily have a radical of dimension at least 1. Hence $\overline{\Omega}\simeq\langle 0,*\rangle$.

Suppose $\Lambda\not\subset K$; to have any $\Omega\subset\Lambda\cap K$ so that $\{\Lambda:\Omega\}=(1,q)$, we must have $d_0(\Lambda)=1$, $\Omega=\Lambda\cap L$, and $\overline{\Omega}\simeq\langle 0,*\rangle$. With $\Omega=\Lambda\cap L$, we have $\overline{\Omega}\simeq\langle 0,2\nu\rangle$ if and only if $\overline{\Lambda}_1\simeq\langle 2\nu\rangle$; similarly, $\overline{\Omega}\simeq\langle 0,0\rangle$ if and only if $\overline{\Lambda}\simeq\langle 0\rangle$ or $d_1(\Lambda)=0$. (Recall that here ν represents any element of $\mathbb{F}^\times$.)

Now suppose $\Lambda\subset K$. Then each $\Omega\subset\Lambda\cap K$ with $\{\Lambda:\Omega\}=(1,q)$ corresponds to a line in $\Lambda/q\Lambda$. Each isotropic line in $\Lambda/q\Lambda$ corresponds to Ω where $\overline{\Omega}\simeq\langle 0,0\rangle$, and each anisotropic line in $\Lambda/q\Lambda$ corresponds to Ω where $\Omega\simeq\langle 0,2\nu\rangle$. We know $\Lambda/q\Lambda$ has $q+1$ lines; also, $\mathbb{H}$ has 2 isotropic lines, $\mathbb{A}$ has no isotropic lines, $\langle 0,2\nu\rangle$ has 1, and $\langle 0,0\rangle$ has $q+1$. From this the second claim follows. □

Proposition 4.6. *We have*

$$\theta(K;\tau)|A_1(q)=\sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset K\\ \overline{\Omega}\simeq<0,2\nu>}}\left(\frac{\nu}{q}\right)e\{\Omega\tau\},\ \ \theta(R;\tau)|A_1(q)=0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta(K;\tau)|A_1(q)T_1(q^2)\\
&\quad = \sum_{\substack{d_0=1\\ \overline{\Omega}_1\simeq<2\nu>}}\left(\frac{\nu}{q}\right)e\{\Omega\tau\} + q\sum_{\substack{d_0=0\\ \overline{\Omega}\simeq<0,2\nu>}}\left(\frac{\nu}{q}\right)e\{\Omega\tau\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta(K;\tau)|A_1(q)=\frac{1}{q^2\mathbf{g}(q)}&\sum_{x_1,x_2\in K}\sum_{G}\mathrm{e}\{(B(x_i,x_j))\tau\}\\
&\cdot\sum_{Y}\left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)\mathrm{e}\left\{\frac{1}{q}\,{}^tG(B(x_i,x_j))GY\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

where G varies over $\mathcal{G}_1$, $Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \\ y_2 & y_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2,2}_{\text{sym}}$ varying modulo q. Thus the sum on Y is $\left(\frac{\nu}{q}\right) q^2\mathbf{g}(q)$ if ${}^tG(B(x_i,x_j))G \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (q), and 0 otherwise. Let $V = \mathbb{F}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}x_2$, $(x_1'\ x_2') = (x_1\ x_2)G$. Then as G varies, $\mathbb{F}x_2'$ varies over all lines in V. If rad$V = \{0\}$ or V, then the sum on Y is 0 for each choice of G; otherwise, there is a unique G so that $\mathbb{F}x_2' = \text{rad}V$, and in this case the sum on Y is $\left(\frac{\nu}{q}\right) q^2\mathbf{g}(q)$ where $V \simeq \langle \nu, 0\rangle$.

Since $A_1(q)$ annihilates terms $c(\Lambda)\text{e}\{\Lambda\tau\}$ where $\overline{\Lambda} \simeq \langle 0,0\rangle$, $\theta(R;\tau)|A_1(q) = 0$.

We also have

$$\theta(K;\tau)|A_1(q)T_1(q^2) = \sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset K \\ \overline{\Omega}\simeq <0,2\nu>}} \left(\frac{\nu}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{\Lambda \text{ integral} \\ \{\Lambda:\Omega\}=(1,q)}} \text{e}\{\Lambda\tau\}.$$

Interchanging the order of summation, we sum first over integral $\Lambda \subset \frac{1}{q}K$, then over $\Omega \subset \Lambda \cap K$ so that $\{\Lambda : \Omega\} = (1,q)$ and $\overline{\Omega} \simeq \langle 0, 2\nu\rangle$.

Suppose first $\Lambda \not\subset K$. Then $\Lambda = \frac{1}{q}\Lambda_0 \oplus \Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda_2$ with $d_0(\Lambda) > 0$, and there are no Ω meeting the criteria if $d_0(\Lambda) > 1$. So suppose $d_0(\Lambda) = 1$; then we need to take $\Omega = \Lambda \cap K$ to have $\{\Lambda : \Omega\} = (1,q)$, and then $\overline{\Omega} \simeq \langle 0,0\rangle$ unless $\overline{\Lambda}_1 \simeq \langle 2\nu\rangle$ (and hence $d_1(\Lambda) = 1$).

Now suppose $\Lambda \subset K$. Then each Ω where $\{\Lambda : \Omega\} = (1,q)$ corresponds to a line in $\Lambda/q\Lambda$, and any line that represents a quadratic residue cannot represent a quadratic non-residue, and vice-versa. If $\Lambda/q\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{H}$ or $\mathbb{A}$ or $\langle 0,0\rangle$ then the number of lines of $\Lambda/q\Lambda$ that represent quadratic residues is equal to the number of lines that represent quadratic non-residues. If $\Lambda/q\Lambda \simeq \langle 0, 2\nu'\rangle$ with $q \nmid \nu'$, then $\Lambda/q\Lambda$ has 1 istotropic line, and q lines $\mathbb{F}x \simeq \langle 2\nu'\rangle$. From this the proposition follows. □

Proposition 4.7. *We have*

$$\theta(K;\tau)|A_2(q) = -\theta(K;\tau) + q \sum_{\substack{\Omega\subset K \\ \overline{\Omega}\simeq <0,*>}} e\{\Omega\tau\},$$

and

$$\theta(R;\tau)|A_2(q) = q\theta(R;\tau).$$

Proof. We have

$$\theta(K;\tau)|A_2(q) = \frac{1}{\epsilon q} \sum_{x_1,x_2\in K} \sum_{Y} \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right) \text{e}\{(B(x_i,x_j))(\tau + Y/q)\},$$

Y varying over symmetric matrices modulo q. For $x_1, x_2 \in K$,

$$\sum_{Y} \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right) \text{e}\{(B(x_i,x_j))Y/q\} = \begin{cases} -\epsilon q & \text{if } (B(x_i,x_j)) \simeq \mathbb{H} \text{ or } \mathbb{A}\ (q), \\ \epsilon(q-1)q & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

from which the proposition follows. □

Proposition 4.8. *With q a prime dividing $\mathcal{N}$, there is a prime p so that $\chi(p)=1$, $\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(p)=\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)$, and*

$$\theta(genR)|[q^{k-2}T_1(q^2)T(q)B(q)+q^{2k-3}]=\theta(genK)|\left[\frac{\lambda(q)}{\lambda(p)}\cdot T(p)B(q)-T(q)B(q)\right]$$

where $\lambda(t)=(t^{k-1}+1)(t^{k-2}+1)$.

Proof. First suppose $\chi_q\neq 1$. By §91C and 92:2 [13], there is a basis $(x_1,\dots,x_{2k})$ for $\mathbb{Z}_qK$ so that, relative to this basis, $\mathbb{Z}_qK\simeq 2\langle 1,-1,\dots,1,-1,\eta\rangle\perp 2q\langle\eta'\rangle$. (So $R=\mathbb{Z}_qx_{2k}+\mathbb{Z}_qqK$.) Let $\overline{C}$ be a maximal totally isotropic subspace of $K/R\simeq\mathbb{Z}_qK/\mathbb{Z}_qR$; let M be the preimage in K of $\overline{C}$. Since $SL_{2k}(\mathbb{Z})$ projects onto $SL_{2k}(\mathbb{F})$, there is a basis $(x_1',\dots,x_{2k-1}',x_{2k})$ of $\mathbb{Z}_qK$ so that $(\overline{x}_1',\dots,\overline{x}_{k-1}')$ is a basis for $\overline{C}$. (So $(x_1',\dots,x_{k-1}',qx_k',\dots,qx_{2k-1}',x_{2k})$ is a basis for $\mathbb{Z}_qM$.) By 92:2 [13], we can adjust $x_k',\dots,x_{2k-1}'$ so that

$$\mathbb{Z}_qx_1'\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbb{Z}_qx_{2k-2}'\simeq 2\begin{pmatrix}0&I\\I&0\end{pmatrix}\ (q),$$

and hence $\mathbb{Z}_qx_1'\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbb{Z}_qx_{2k-2}'$ is an orthogonal sum of hyperbolic planes. So

$$\mathbb{Z}_qx_1'\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbb{Z}_qx_{k-1}'\oplus\mathbb{Z}_qqx_k'\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbb{Z}_qqx_{2k-2}'\simeq 2q\begin{pmatrix}*&I\\I&0\end{pmatrix}\ (q^2),$$

which means $\mathbb{Z}_qx_1'\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbb{Z}_qx_{k-1}'\oplus\mathbb{Z}_qqx_k'\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbb{Z}_qqx_{2k-2}'$ is an orthogonal sum of hyperbolic planes scaled by q. Again appealing to 92:2 [13], we have

$$\mathbb{Z}_qM\simeq 2q\langle 1,-1,\dots,1,-1\rangle\perp 2q^2\langle\eta\rangle\perp 2q\langle\eta'\rangle.$$

Take $\Omega\subset K$ with formal rank 2; decompose Ω as $\Omega_1+\Omega_2$ where $\Omega_2\subset R$ and $d_1=\mathrm{rank}\Omega_1=\dim\overline{\Omega}_1$ in K/R. So $\Omega\subset M$ if and only if $\overline{\Omega}_1\subseteq\overline{C}$. Also, Ω can only be in M if $\overline{\Omega}_1$ is totally isotropic in K/R. So suppose $\overline{\Omega}_1$ is totally isotropic; then the number of M containing Ω is the number of ways to extend $\overline{\Omega}_1$ to a maximal totally isotropic subspace $\overline{C}$ of K/R; hence the number of M containing Ω is

$$\begin{cases}\delta=\prod_{i=3}^{k-1}(q^{k-i}+1) & \text{if } d_1=2,\\ (q^{k-2}+1)\delta & \text{if } d_1=1,\\ (q^{k-1}+1)(q^{k-2}+1)\delta & \text{if } d_1=0.\end{cases}$$

We know that for any prime $\ell\neq q$, $\mathbb{Z}_\ell M=\mathbb{Z}_\ell K$. Using Propositions 4.2 and 4.3, we see

$$\delta^{-1}\sum_M\theta(M;\tau/q)=\theta(K;\tau)|T(q)+\theta(R;\tau)|[q^{k-2}T_1(q^2)T(q)+q^{2k-3}T(q)].$$

Further, with $M^{1/q}$ denoting the lattice M with its quadratic form scaled by $1/q$, we have $\mathbb{Z}_q M^{1/q} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_q K$ and $\mathbb{Z}_\ell M^{1/q} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell K^{1/q} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell K^q$ for all primes $\ell \neq q$. Sorting the M into isometry classes (and fixing a choice of M to represent the genus), we have

$$\sum_M \theta(M;\tau/q) = \sum_{\mathrm{cls} M' \in \mathrm{gen} M} \frac{\#\{\sigma \in O(\mathbb{Q}K) :\ qK \subset \sigma M' \subset K\ \}}{o(M')} \theta(M';\tau/q).$$

Note that for $M' \in \mathrm{gen} M$, $\mathbb{Q}K = \mathbb{Q}M = \mathbb{Q}M'$, $o(qK) = o(K)$, and

$$\#\{\sigma \in O(\mathbb{Q}K) :\ qK \subset \sigma M' \subset K\ \} = \#\{\sigma \in O(\mathbb{Q}M') :\ qM' \subset \sigma qK \subset M'\ \}.$$

Thus averaging over the genus of K and using that $O(K) = O(R)$ (see Proposition 1.4 [16]), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta(\mathrm{gen}K;\tau)|T(q) + \theta(\mathrm{gen}R;\tau)|[q^{k-2}T_1(q^2)T(q) + q^{2k-3}T(q)] \\
&\quad = \delta^{-1} \sum_{\substack{K' \in \mathrm{gen}K \\ M' \in \mathrm{gen}M}} \frac{\#\{\sigma \in O(\mathbb{Q}M') :\ qM' \subset \sigma qK' \subset M'\ \}}{o(qK')o(M')} \theta(M';\tau/q) \\
&\quad = (q^{k-1}+1)(q^{k-2}+1)\theta(\mathrm{gen}M;\tau/q)
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{K' \in \mathrm{gen}K} \frac{\#\{\sigma \in O(\mathbb{Q}K) :\ qK \subset \sigma M' \subset K\ \}}{o(qK')}$$

is the number of maximal totally isotropic subspaces of M'/qM' scaled by $1/q$, which is $(q^{k-1}+1)(q^{k-2}+1)\delta$.

Let $K^* = M^{1/q}$; thus $\theta(\mathrm{gen}M;\tau/q) = \theta(\mathrm{gen}K^*;\tau)$ and for ℓ a prime,

$$\mathbb{Z}_\ell K^* \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_\ell K \text{ if } \ell \nmid \Delta_0/q, \\ \mathbb{Z}_\ell K^q \text{if } \ell | \Delta_0/q. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 4.1, we can choose a prime p so that $\theta(\mathrm{gen}K;\tau)|T(p) = \lambda(p)\theta(\mathrm{gen}K^*;\tau)$. Substituting for $\theta(\mathrm{gen}M;\tau/q)$, applying $B(q)$, and noting that $\theta(R;\tau)|T(q)B(q) = \theta(R;\tau)$ (see Proposition 4.2), we get the result.

In the case $\chi_q = 1$, $\mathbb{Z}_q K \simeq 2\langle 1,-1,\dots,1,-1,1,-\omega\rangle \perp 2q\langle 1,-\omega\rangle$; otherwise, the argument is virtually identical to the argument above. □

Proposition 4.9. *Let q be a prime dividing $\mathcal{N}$.*

(1) Suppose $\chi_q \neq 1$; with $\alpha = (q+1)(q^{2k-3}+1)$, we have

$$\theta(genR)|q^{2k-3}T_1(q^2) = \theta(genK)|[\alpha - T_1(q^2) - q^{k-1}\left(\frac{\eta}{q}\right)A_1(q)]$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_q K \simeq \langle 1,-1,\dots,1,-1,\eta\rangle \perp q\langle \eta'\rangle$.

(2) Suppose $\chi_q = 1$. *With* $\alpha = (q+1)(q^{2k-3} - q^{k-1} + 1)$, *we have*

$$\theta(genR)|q^{2k-3}T_1(q^2) = \theta(genK)|[\alpha - T_1(q^2) + q^{k-1}A_0(q)].$$

Proof. Suppose $\chi_q \neq 1$. Take a basis $(x_1, \dots, x_{2k})$ for $\mathbb{Z}_qK$ so that, relative to this basis,

$$\mathbb{Z}_qK \simeq 2\langle 1, -1, \dots, 1, -1, \eta\rangle \perp 2q\langle \eta'\rangle.$$

Let $\overline{C}$ be an isotropic line in $K/R \simeq \mathbb{Z}_qK/\mathbb{Z}_qR$. Since K/R is regular, there is a line $\overline{D}$ in K/R so that $\overline{C} \oplus \overline{D} \simeq \mathbb{H}$. Since $SL_{2k}(\mathbb{Z})$ projects onto $SL_{2k}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$, there is a basis $(x'_1, \dots, x'_{2k-1}, x_{2k})$ for $\mathbb{Z}_qK$ so that, in K/R, $\overline{C} = \mathbb{F}\overline{x}'_1$ and $\overline{D} = \mathbb{F}\overline{x}'_2$. By 92:2 [13], $\mathbb{Z}_qx'_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_qx'_2 \simeq \mathbb{H}$ and by 82:16 [13], $\mathbb{Z}_qx'_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_qx'_2$ splits $\mathbb{Z}_qK$. Again using 92:2 [13], we can assume that, relative to the basis $(x'_1, \dots, x'_{2k-1}, x_{2k})$,

$$\mathbb{Z}_qK \simeq 2\begin{pmatrix} qa & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \perp 2\langle 1, -1, \dots, 1, -1, \eta\rangle \perp 2q\langle \eta'\rangle$$

where $q \nmid b$. So $(x'_1, qx'_2, \dots, qx'_{2k-1}, x_{2k})$ is a basis for $\mathbb{Z}_qK'$, and relative to this basis,

$$\mathbb{Z}_qK' \simeq 2q\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & qc \end{pmatrix} \perp 2q^2\langle 1, -1, \dots, 1, -1, \eta\rangle \perp 2q\langle \eta'\rangle.$$

Now scale K/qK' by $1/q$ and take R' to be the preimage in K' of $\mathrm{rad}K'/qK'$ (so $(qx'_1, q^2x'_2, qx'_3, \dots, qx'_{2k-1}, qx_{2k})$ is a basis for $\mathbb{Z}_qR'$). In K'/R' scaled by $1/q$, let $\overline{C}'$ be an isotropic line so that $\overline{C}' \neq \mathbb{F}\overline{qx'_2}$. So $\overline{C}'$ is generated by some $\overline{x}''_1$ where $x''_1 = x'_1 + \alpha qx'_2$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. Take qK_1 to be the preimage in K' of $\overline{C}'$; thus $(x''_1/q, qx'_2, x'_3, \dots, x'_{2k-1}, x_{2k})$ is a basis for $\mathbb{Z}_qK_1$ and relative to this basis,

$$\mathbb{Z}_qK_1 \simeq 2\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ b' & q^2c \end{pmatrix} \perp 2\langle 1, -1, \dots, 1, -1, \eta\rangle \perp 2q\langle \eta'\rangle,$$

$q \nmid b'$. Since $2\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ b' & q^2c \end{pmatrix} \simeq \mathbb{H}$ by 92:2 [13], we have $\mathbb{Z}_qK_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_qK$. We also have $\mathbb{Z}_\ell K_1 = \mathbb{Z}_\ell K$ for every prime $\ell \neq q$, so $K_1 \in \mathrm{gen}K$.

Now take Ω in $\frac{1}{q}K$ with formal rank 2; we count how many of these lattices K_1 contain Ω. Decompose Ω as $\left(\frac{1}{q}\Omega_0 \oplus \Omega_1\right) + \Omega_2$ so that $\Omega_2 \subset R$ and $\Omega_0 \oplus \Omega_1$ is primitive in K modulo R; let $d_i = d_i(\Omega)$. Then $\Omega \subset K_1$ if and only if $\overline{\Omega}_0 \subseteq \overline{C} \subseteq \overline{\Omega}_1^{\perp}$ in K/R, and $\overline{\Omega}_0 \subseteq \overline{C}'$ in K'/R'. So when $d_0 = 1$, there is 1 K_1 containing Ω. When $d_0 = 0$, the number of $\overline{C} \subseteq \overline{\Omega}_1^{\perp}$ is

$$\begin{cases} (q^{2k-4} - 1)/(q-1) & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \mathbb{H} \text{ or } \mathbb{A} \text{ or } \langle 0, 0\rangle, \\ (q^{2k-4} - 1)/(q-1) + \left(\frac{\eta\nu}{q}\right) q^{k-2} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 0, 2\nu\rangle,\ \nu \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}, \\ (q^{2k-3} - 1)/(q-1) & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 0\rangle, \\ (q^{2k-3} - 1)/(q-1) + \left(\frac{\eta\nu}{q}\right) q^{k-2} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 2\nu\rangle,\ \nu \in \mathbb{F}, \\ (q^{2k-2} - 1)/(q-1) & \text{if } d_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the number of choices for $\overline{C}'$ is q (which is the number of isotropic lines in $\mathbb{F}\overline{x} \oplus \mathbb{F}\overline{qy}$ scaled by $1/q$ that are independent of $\mathbb{F}\overline{qy}$). Thus, using Propositions 4.3 and 4.6, with $c = \frac{q^2(q^{2k-5}-1)}{(q-1)} - 1$

$$\theta(K;\tau)|[T_1(q^2) + \left(\frac{\eta}{q}\right) q^{k-1}A_1(q) + c] + \theta(R;\tau)|q^{2k-3}T_1(q^2) = \sum_{K_1} \theta(K_1;\tau).$$

So averaging over gen$K$ gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta(\mathrm{gen}K;\tau)|[T_1(q^2) + \left(\frac{\eta}{q}\right) q^{k-1}A_1(q) + c] + \theta(\mathrm{gen}R;\tau)|q^{2k-3}T_1(q^2)\\
&\quad = \sum_{M,M_1\in\mathrm{gen}K} \frac{1}{o(M)} \frac{\#\{\sigma\in O(\mathbb{Q}K):\ \{M:\sigma M_1\} = (1/q,1,\dots,1,q)\ \}}{o(M_1)} \theta(M_1;\tau)\\
&\quad = \sum_{M,M_1\in\mathrm{gen}K} \frac{1}{o(M_1)} \frac{\#\{\sigma\in O(\mathbb{Q}K):\ \{M_1:\sigma M\} = (1/q,1,\dots,1,q)\ \}}{o(M)} \theta(M_1;\tau)\\
&\quad = \frac{q(q^{2k-2}-1)}{(q-1)}\theta(\mathrm{gen}K;\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging this equation yields the result for $\chi_q \neq 1$.

Now suppose $\chi_q = 1$. Let $\overline{C}$ be an isotropic line in K/R, K' the preimage in K of $\overline{C}$. So there is a basis $(x, y, w_1, w_2, z_1, \dots, z_{2k-4})$ for $\mathbb{Z}_qK$ so that $(x, qy, w_1, w_2, qz_1, \dots, qz_{2k-4})$ is a basis for $\mathbb{Z}_qK'$, and relative to this basis the quadratic form on K' is

$$2q\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & qc \end{pmatrix} \perp 2q\langle 1, -\omega\rangle \perp 2q^2\langle 1, -1, \dots, 1, -1, 1, -\omega\rangle$$

with $b \not\equiv 0\ (q)$. Now in K'/qK' scaled by $1/q$, let R' be the preimage in K' of radK'/qK'. Then in K'/R' scaled by $1/q$, let $\overline{C}'$ be an isotropic line independent of $\overline{qK}$; let qK_1 be the preimage in K' of $\overline{C}' \oplus$ radK'/qK'. As when $\chi_q \neq 1$, $K_1 \in$ gen$K$ with $\{K : K_1\} = (1/q, 1, \dots, 1, q)$.

For $\Omega \subset \frac{1}{q}K$ (decomposed as before), there is 1 K_1 containing Ω if $d_0 = 1$. If $d_0 = 0$ the number of $\overline{C} \subset \overline{\Omega}_1^{\perp}$ is

$$\begin{cases}
(q^{2k-5}-1)/(q-1) - q^{k-3} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \mathbb{H},\\
(q^{2k-5}-1)/(q-1) + q^{k-3} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \mathbb{A},\\
(q^{2k-5}-1)/(q-1) & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 0, 2\nu\rangle,\ \nu\in\mathbb{F}^{\times},\\
(q^{2k-5}-1)/(q-1) - q^{k-2} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 0, 0\rangle,\\
(q^{2k-4}-1)/(q-1) & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 2\nu\rangle,\ \nu\in\mathbb{F}^{\times},\\
(q^{2k-4}-1)/(q-1) - q^{k-2} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 0\rangle,\\
(q^{2k-3}-1)/(q-1) - q^{k-2} & \text{if } d_1 = 0.
\end{cases}$$

The number of choices for $\overline{C}'$ is

$$\frac{(q^2+1)(q-1)-(q-1)}{(q-1)} = q^2.$$

Using Propositions 4.3 and 4.5, then averaging over gen$K$ yields the result for $\chi_q = 1$. $\square$

Theorem 4.10. *With* $\gamma = \frac{q^{2k-3}+1)}{(q-1)} + q^{k-1}$, $\lambda(t) = (t^{k-1}+1)(t^{k-2}+1)$ *and a prime* p *chosen as in Lemma 4.1, we have*

$$q^{3k-4}\theta(genR) = \theta(genK)\Big| \left[T_1(q^2)T(q) - \gamma T(q) + q^{k-1}\frac{\lambda(q)}{\lambda(p)}T(p)\right] B(q).$$

Proof. We apply $T(q)B(q)$ to the identity of the preceeding proposition; note that $A_1(q)T(q) = 0$ since $A_1(q)$ annihilates all coefficients attached to lattices $\Lambda \simeq \langle 0,0\rangle\ (q)$, and $T(q)$ annihilates all coefficients attached to lattices $\Lambda \not\simeq \langle 0,0\rangle\ (q)$. Similarly, $A_0(q)T(q) = (q+1)T(q)$. Using this together with Proposition 4.8 yields the result. $\square$

Theorem 4.11. *With* K *maximal of odd level* $\mathcal{N}$, q *a prime dividing* $\mathcal{N}$, *and* $T_K(q)$ *as defined at the beginning of this section, we have*

$$\theta(genK)|T_K(q) = \kappa(q)\theta(genK)$$

for some $\kappa(q) \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. The argument here is much the same as that of Proposition 4.9: We first count how often $\Omega \subset \frac{1}{q}K$ lies in lattices K_2 where $K_2 \in$ gen$K$ with $\{K : K_2\} = (1/q, 1/q, 1, \dots, 1, q, q)$. Then we realise $\sum_{K_2}\theta(K_2)$ in terms of images of $\theta(K)$ and $\theta(R)$; averaging over gen$K$ yields our desired result.

Whether $\chi_q = 1$ or not, we begin by taking $\overline{C}$ to be a dimension 2 totally isotropic subspace of K/R so that $\overline{C}$; let K' be the preimage in K of $\overline{C}$. Let R' be the preimage in K' of radK'/qK', where K'/qK' is scaled by $1/q$. Then in K'/R' scaled by $1/q$, take $\overline{C}'$ to be a dimension 2 totally isotropic subspace that is independent of $\overline{qK}$; let qK_2 be the preimage in K' of $\overline{C}'$. So $\{K : K_2\} = (1/q, 1/q, 1, \dots, 1, q, q)$, and an argument virtually identical to that used in the proof of Proposition 4.9 shows that $K_2 \in$ gen$K$. Take $\Omega \subset \frac{1}{q}K$ with $\Omega = (\frac{1}{q}\Omega_0 \oplus \Omega_1) + \Omega_2$, $\Omega_2 \subset R$, $\Omega_0 \oplus \Omega_1$ primitive in K modulo R; let $d_i = \dim \Omega_i$. As in the proof of Proposition 8.3, we have $\Omega \in K_2$ if and only if $\overline{\Omega}_0 \subseteq \overline{C} \subseteq \overline{\Omega}_1^{\perp}$ in K/R and $\overline{\Omega}_0 \subseteq \overline{C}'$ in K'/R'.

To count the number of K_2 containing $\Omega \subset \frac{1}{q}K$, first suppose $\chi_q \neq 1$. If $d_0 = 2$ then the number of K_2 containing Ω is 1. Say $d_0 = 1$; then the number of $\overline{C}$ so that $\overline{\Omega}_0 \subseteq \overline{C} \subseteq \overline{\Omega}_1^{\perp}$ is

$$\begin{cases} (q^{2k-5}-1)/(q-1) + \left(\frac{\eta\nu}{q}\right) q^{k-2} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega} \simeq \langle 2\nu\rangle,\ \nu \in \mathbb{F}, \\ (q^{2k-4}-1)/(q-1) & \text{if } d_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the number of ways to choose $\overline{C}'$ so that $\Omega \in K_2$ is q, which is the number of ways to extend $\overline{\Omega}_0$ to a dimension 2 totally isotropic subspace of K'/qK', independent $\overline{qK}$. Now say $d_0 = 0$; then the number of $\overline{C}$ so that $\overline{\Omega}_0 \subseteq \overline{C} \subseteq \overline{\Omega}_1^\perp$ is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{(q^{2k-4}-1)}{(q-1)} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \mathbb{H} \text{ or } \mathbb{A}, \\ \frac{(q^{2k-4}-1)}{(q-1)} + q^{2k-6} + \left(\frac{\eta\nu}{q}\right) q^{k-3} \frac{(q^{2k-5}-1)}{(q-1)} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 2\nu, 0 \rangle,\ \nu \in \mathbb{F}, \\ \frac{(q^{2k-4}-1)^2}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} + \left(\frac{\eta\nu}{q}\right) \frac{q^{k-3}(q^{2k-4}-1)}{(q-1)} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 2\nu \rangle, \\ \frac{(q^{2k-2}-1)(q^{2k-4}-1)}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} & \text{if } d_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

The number of ways to choose $\overline{C}'$ so that $\Omega \subset K_2$ is q^3.

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{K_2} \theta(K_2) \\ &= \theta(K)| \left[T(q)^2 + aT_1(q^2) + \left(\frac{\eta}{q}\right) q^{k-2} A_1(q) T_1(q^2) \right] \\ &\quad + \theta(K)| \left[\left(\frac{\eta}{q}\right) q^{k-1} a A_1(q) + q^{2k-4} A_2(q) + e \right] \\ &\quad + \theta(R)| \left[q^{2k-4} T_1(q^2) T_1(q^2) + q^{2k-3} a T_1(q^2) + \left(\frac{\eta}{q}\right) q^{3k-5} T_1(q^2) A_1(q) + q^{4k-6} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $e = \frac{q^3(q^{2k-4}-1)(q^{2k-6}-1)}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} - a(q+1) - (q^{2k-3}+1)$. Averaging over gen$K$ gives us the result in the case $\chi_q \neq 1$.

Now suppose $\chi_q = 1$; let Ω be as above. If $d_0 = 2$ then the number of K_2 containing Ω is 1. Say $d_0 = 1$; then the number of $\overline{C}$ so that $\overline{\Omega}_0 \subseteq \overline{C} \subseteq \overline{\Omega}_1^\perp$ is

$$\begin{cases} (q^{2k-6}-1)/(q-1) & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 2\nu \rangle,\ \nu \in \mathbb{F}^\times, \\ (q^{2k-6}-1)/(q-1) - q^{k-3} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 0 \rangle, \\ (q^{k-2}+1)(q^{k-3}-1)/(q-1) & \text{if } d_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the number of ways to choose $\overline{C}'$ so that $\Omega \subset K_2$ is q^2. Say $d_0 = 0$; then the number of $\overline{C}$ so that $\overline{\Omega}_0 \subseteq \overline{C} \subseteq \overline{\Omega}_1^{\perp}$ is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{(q^{2k-6}-1)}{(q-1)}\left(\frac{(q^{2k-1}-1)}{(q-1)} - q^{k-4}\right) & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \mathbb{H}, \\ \frac{(q^{2k-6}-1)}{(q-1)}\left(\frac{(q^{2k-1}-1)}{(q-1)} + q^{k-4}\right) & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \mathbb{A}, \\ \frac{(q^{2k-6}-1)^2}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 2\nu, 0\rangle,\ \nu \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}, \\ \frac{(q^{2k-6}-1)^2}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} - \frac{q^{k-3}(q^{2k-6}-1)}{(q-1)} + q^{2k-6} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 0, 0\rangle, \\ \frac{(q^{2k-4}-1)(q^{2k-6}-1)}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 2\nu\rangle,\ \nu \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}, \\ \frac{(q^{2k-4}-1)(q^{2k-6}-1)}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} + \frac{q^{k-3}(q^{k-2}+1)(q^{k-3}-1)}{(q-1)} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 0\rangle, \\ \frac{(q^{k-1}+1)(q^{2k-4}-1)(q^{k-3}-1)}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} & \text{if } d_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the number of ways to choose $\overline{C}'$ so that $\Omega \subset K_2$ is q^5.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{K_2} \theta(K_2) \\ &= \theta(K)|[T(q)^2 + aT_1(q^2) - q^{k-2}A_0(q)T_1(q^2) + cA_0(q) + q^{2k-1}T(q)B(q) + e] \\ &\quad + \theta(R)|[q^{2k-4}T_1(q^2)T_1(q^2) + q^{2k-3}aT_1(q^2) - q^{3k-5}T_1(q^2)A_0(q) + q^{4k-6}] \end{aligned}$$

where $e = \frac{q^5(q^{2k-6}-1)^2}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} - 1 - a(q+1) - c - q^{2k-4}(q+1)$. Averaging over gen$K$ yields the result in the case $\chi_q = 1$.

The proof in the case that $\chi_q \neq 1$ is virtually identical, using the following (where $\Omega \subset \frac{1}{q}K$, as above). If $d_0 = 2$ then the number of K_2 containing Ω is 1. Say $d_0 = 1$; then the number of $\overline{C}$ so that $\overline{\Omega}_0 \subseteq \overline{C} \subseteq \Omega_1^{\perp}$ is

$$\begin{cases} (q^{2k-5} - 1)/(q-1) + \left(\frac{\eta\nu}{q}\right) q^{k-3} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 2\nu\rangle,\ \nu \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}, \\ (q^{2k-5} - 1)/(q-1) & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 0\rangle, \\ (q^{2k-4} - 1)/(q-1) & \text{if } d_1 = 0, \end{cases}$$

and then the number of ways to choose $\overline{C}' \oplus \mathrm{rad}K'/qK'$ so that $\Omega \subset K_2$ is q. If $d_0 = 0$, then the number of $\overline{C}$ so that $\overline{C} \subset \overline{\Omega}_1^{\perp}$ is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{(q^{2k-4}-1)(q^{2k-6}-1)}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \mathbb{H} \text{ or } \mathbb{A}, \\ \frac{(q^{2k-4}-1)(q^{2k-6}-1)}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} + q^{2k-6} + \left(\frac{\eta\nu}{q}\right)\frac{q^{k-3}(q^{2k-5}-1)}{(q-1)} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 0, 2\nu\rangle,\ \nu \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}, \\ \frac{(q^{2k-4}-1)(q^{2k-6}-1)}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} + q^{2k-6} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 0, 0\rangle, \\ \frac{(q^{2k-4}-1)^2}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} + \left(\frac{\eta\nu}{q}\right)\frac{q^{k-3}(q^{2k-4}-1)}{(q-1)} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 2\nu\rangle,\ \nu \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}, \\ \frac{(q^{2k-4}-1)^2}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} & \text{if } \overline{\Omega}_1 \simeq \langle 0\rangle, \\ \frac{(q^{2k-2}-1)(q^{2k-4}-1)}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} & \text{if } d_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the number of $\overline{C}' \oplus K'/qK'$ so that $\Omega \subset K_2$ is q^3. From this the theorem follows for $\chi_q \neq 1$. $\square$

§5. Action of shift, Hecke, and twist operators on Eisenstein series of level $q\mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free and q is a prime dividing $\mathcal{N}$

Fix a prime q dividing $\mathcal{N}$. Our next task is to evaluate the action of $T_K(q)$ on the Eisenstein series of level $\mathcal{N}$; since the shift and twist operators associated to q map Eisenstein series of level $\mathcal{N}$ to Eisenstein series of level $q\mathcal{N}$, we need to evaluate the action of the Hecke and twist operators on Eisenstein series of level $q\mathcal{N}$.

Fix a partition $\sigma = (\mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2)$ of $\mathcal{N}/q$; take M_σ as defined in §2. Fix $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $\left(\frac{\omega}{q}\right) = -1$; let $\mathbb{F}$ denote $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{G}_1$ denote $\mathcal{G}_1(q)$ (as defined in §2). Let $\psi(*) = \left(\frac{*}{q}\right)$; using notation introduced in §2, we abbreviate $\psi_{(1,q,1)}(M,N)$ to $\psi_1(M,N)$. Similarly, we abbreviate $\chi_{(1,q,1)}(M,N)$ to $\chi_1(M,N)$.

We can decompose $\mathbb{E}_\sigma$ as $\mathbb{E}_\sigma = \mathbb{E}_0 + \mathbb{E}_1 + \mathbb{E}_2$ where the pairs in the support of $\mathbb{E}_i$ have q-type i. So $2\mathbb{E}_1$ is supported on a set of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalence class representatives for the $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$-orbit of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M_1\ I)$ where M_1 is a diagonal matrix with $M_1 \equiv M_\sigma\ (\mathcal{N}/q)$, $M_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix}1&\\&0\end{pmatrix}$ (q); this $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$-orbit splits futher into the $\Gamma_0(q\mathcal{N})$-orbits corresponding to diagonal matrices $M_{1,1}$, $M_{1,2,+}$ and $M_{1,2,-}$ where each is congruent modulo $\mathcal{N}/q$ to M_σ, and $M_{1,1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix}1&\\&0\end{pmatrix}$ (q^2), $M_{1,2,+} \equiv \begin{pmatrix}1&\\&q\end{pmatrix}$ (q^2), $M_{1,2,-} \equiv \begin{pmatrix}1&\\&q\omega\end{pmatrix}$ (q^2). Similarly, $2\mathbb{E}_0$ is supported on a set of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalence class representatives for the $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$-orbit of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M_0\ I)$ where $M_0 \equiv M_\sigma\ (\mathcal{N}/q)$, $M_0 \equiv 0\ (q)$, and this $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$-orbit splits into $\Gamma_0(q\mathcal{N})$-orbits corresponding to diagonal matrices $M_{0,0}$, $M_{0,1,+}$, $M_{0,1,-}$, $M_{0,2,\alpha}$ where α varies over $\mathbb{F}^\times$; these matrices are each congruent modulo $\mathcal{N}/q$ to M_σ, and $M_{0,0} \equiv 0\ (q^2)$, $M_{0,1,+} \equiv \begin{pmatrix}q&\\&0\end{pmatrix}$ (q^2), $M_{0,1,-} \equiv \begin{pmatrix}q\omega&\\&0\end{pmatrix}$ (q^2), $M_{0,2,\alpha} \equiv \begin{pmatrix}q&\\&q\alpha\end{pmatrix}$ (q^2). (The $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N})$-orbit of $SL_2(I\ I)$ is that same as the $\Gamma_0(q\mathcal{N})$-orbit of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(I\ I)$.) With M_* one of these matrices and $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M\ N)$ in the $\Gamma_0(q\mathcal{N})$-orbit of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M_*\ I)$, we say $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type $*$. When $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type i, j, ν with $\nu = +$ or $-$, we sometimes simply say $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type i, j.

We write $2\mathbb{E}_{1,1}$ to denote the level $q\mathcal{N}$, character χ Eisenstein series supported on the $\Gamma_0(q\mathcal{N})$-orbit of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M_{1,1}\ I)$, and so forth. We let $\mathbb{E}_{1,2} = \mathbb{E}_{1,2,+} + \mathbb{E}_{1,2,-}$, $\mathbb{E}_{0,1} = \mathbb{E}_{0,1,+} + \mathbb{E}_{0,1,-}$, $\mathbb{E}_{0,2,+} = \sum \mathbb{E}_{0,2,\alpha}$ where the sum is over all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ with $\left(\frac{\alpha}{q}\right) = 1$, $\mathbb{E}_{0,2,-} = \sum \mathbb{E}_{0,2,\alpha}$ where the sum is over all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ with $\left(\frac{\alpha}{q}\right) = -1$, and $\mathbb{E}_{0,2} = \mathbb{E}_{0,2,+} + \mathbb{E}_{0,2,-}$. With $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M\ N) = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M_*\ I)\gamma$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(q\mathcal{N})$, as we saw in §2 we have

$$\chi(\det D_\gamma) = \chi_\sigma(M,N) \cdot \begin{cases} \chi_q(\det N) & \text{if } * = 0,0 \text{ or } 0,1,\nu \text{ or } 0,2,\nu, \\ \chi_1(M,N) & \text{if } * = 1,1 \text{ or } 1,2,\nu, \\ \chi_q(\det M) & \text{if } * = 2. \end{cases}$$

Recall that for $E \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $\chi_\sigma(EM, EN) = \chi_\sigma(M,N)$, and $\chi_1(EM,EN) = \chi_1(M,N)$. For the rest of this section, we only consider pairs $(M\ N), (M'\ N')$ of $\mathcal{N}/q$-type σ.

When evaluating the action of operators on these Eisenstein series, we will frequently use the following.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose* $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}^2)$ *and* $(M\ I) = (M_*\ I)\gamma$ *where* M_* *is one of the above defined matrices.*

(a) If $M_* = M_{1,2,\nu}$ *with* $\nu = +$ *or* $-$, *then* $\nu\left(\frac{(\det M)/q}{q}\right) = \psi_1(M,N)$.

(b) If $M_* = M_{0,1,\nu}$ *with* $\nu = +$ *or* $-$, *then* $\nu\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right) = \psi_1(M/q, N)$.

(c) If $M_* = M_{0,2,\alpha}$ *with* $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^\times$, *then* $\det \frac{1}{q} MN \equiv \alpha\ (q)$.

Proof. Recall that with γ as above, $q^2|C$, so $\det AD \equiv 1\ (q^2)$.

(a) Say $M_* = M_{1,2,\nu}$. Then $M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & q\beta \end{pmatrix} A\ (q^2)$, where $\beta = 1$ or ω with $\nu\left(\frac{\beta}{q}\right) = 1$. So $\nu\left(\frac{\det M/q}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{\det A}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{\det D}{q}\right)$ and by the discussion in §2, $\left(\frac{\det D}{q}\right) = \psi_1(M,N)$.

(b) Say $M_* = M_{0,1,\nu}$. Then $M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} q\beta & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} A\ (q^2)$ where $\beta = 1$ or ω so that $\nu\left(\frac{\beta}{q}\right) = 1$, and $N \equiv D\ (q)$. Thus, following the analysis used in §2, we find $\psi_1(M/q,N) = \nu\left(\frac{\det D}{q}\right) = \nu\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right)$.

(c) Say $M_* = M_{0,2,\alpha}$. Thus $M \equiv q\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \alpha \end{pmatrix} A\ (q^2)$ and $N \equiv D\ (q)$. Thus $\det \frac{1}{q} MN \equiv \alpha \cdot \det AD \equiv \alpha\ (q)$. □

The following proposition is easily verified, and thus the proof is omitted.

Proposition 5.2. *For* $\chi_q \neq 1$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_0|B(q) &= \chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)\mathbb{E}_{0,0}, \\ \mathbb{E}_1|B(q) &= \chi_{\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_2}(q)q^{-k}\mathbb{E}_{1,1} + \chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)(\mathbb{E}_{0,1,+} - \mathbb{E}_{0,1,-}), \\ \mathbb{E}_2|B(q) &= \chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)q^{-2k}\mathbb{E}_2 + \chi_{\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_2}(q)q^{-k}(\mathbb{E}_{1,2,+} - \mathbb{E}_{1,2,-}) \\ &\quad + \chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)(\mathbb{E}_{0,2,+} - \mathbb{E}_{0,2,-}). \end{aligned}$$

For $\chi_q = 1$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_0|B(q) = \chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)\mathbb{E}_{0,0},\\
&\mathbb{E}_1|B(q) = \chi_{\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_2}(q)q^{-k}\mathbb{E}_{1,1} + \chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)\mathbb{E}_{0,1},\\
&\mathbb{E}_2|B(q) = \chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)q^{-2k}\mathbb{E}_2 + \chi_{\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_2}(q)q^{-k}\mathbb{E}_{1,2} + \chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)\mathbb{E}_{0,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In [18] we evaluated the action of $T(q)$, $T_1(q^2)$ on Eisenstein series of level $\mathcal{N}$. Here we need to consider level $q\mathcal{N}$, but the techniques are very similar.

Proposition 3.5 of [18] states the following.

Proposition 5.3. $\mathbb{E}_2|T(q) = \chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)q^{2k-3}\mathbb{E}_2$.

Proposition 5.4. $\mathbb{E}_{1,1}|T(q) = \chi_{\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_1}(q)q^{k-1}\mathbb{E}_1$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.1 [5], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\mathbb{E}_{1,1}(\tau)|T(q)\\
&\quad = q^{-3}\sum \chi_\sigma\chi_1(M,N)\det\left(\frac{1}{q}M\tau + N + \frac{1}{q}MY\right)^{-k}\\
&\quad = q^{2k-3}\sum \chi_\sigma\chi_1(M,N)\det(M\tau + qN + MY)^{-k}
\end{aligned}$$

where the sums are over $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalence class representatives $(M\ N)$ for pairs of $\mathcal{N}/q$-type σ and q^2-type $1,1$.

Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $1,1$. We can assume q^2 divides row 2 of M. Set

$$(M'\ N') = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1/q \end{pmatrix}(M\ qN + MY).$$

Thus $\mathrm{rank}_q M' = 1$ and $(M', N') = 1$ since q does not divide row 1 of M' or row 2 of N'. Also, $\chi_\sigma(M', N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_2}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$.

Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q-type 1; assume q divides row 2 of M'. We need to count the equivalence classes $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M\ N)$ so that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1/q \end{pmatrix}(M\ qN + MY) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M'\ N')$. For any $E \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & q \end{pmatrix} E \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1/q \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $E \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$ (q); thus we need to count the integral, coprime pairs

$$(M\ N) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & q \end{pmatrix} E \left(M'\ \frac{1}{q}(N' - M'Y)\right)$$

where E varies over $\mathcal{G}_1$. For q choices of E we have q dividing row 2 of EM', and for 1 choice of E we have q dividing row 1 of EM'. When q divides row 1 of EM', we have q dividing M so M is not q^2-type $1,1$. When q divides row 2 of EM', N is integral with $(M,N)=1$ for q choices of Y.

Since $\det(M\tau+qN+MY)^{-k}=q^{-k}\cdot\det(M'\tau+N')^{-k}$, and each pair $(M'\ N')$ corresponds to q^2 pairs $(M\ N)$, this shows $2\mathbb{E}_{1,1}|T(q)=\chi_{\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_2}(q)q^{k-1}\cdot 2\mathbb{E}_1$. □

The above proof demonstrates our method of argument throughout this section: We begin with an Eisenstein series written in terms of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalence class representatives $(M\ N)$, apply an operator which alters this pair, then construct a coprime pair $(M'\ N')$. We then reverse this construction to count how many times the pairs $(M\ N)$ lead to pairs $(M'\ N')$ in the same $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalence class. Hence whenever our construction of $(M'\ N')$ involves left-multiplication by something other than an element of $\mathbb{Q}$, we need to consider whether left-multiplying our representatives for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M'\ N')$ by $E\in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ changes the equivalence class of the resulting $(M\ N)$. When our construction of $(M'\ N')$ involves left-multiplication by $\begin{pmatrix}1&\\&1/q\end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix}q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}$, our reverse construction of $(M\ N)$ involves left-multiplication by $\begin{pmatrix}1&\\&q\end{pmatrix}E$ or $\begin{pmatrix}1/q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}E$ where $E\in\mathcal{G}_1$; when our construction of $(M'\ N')$ involves left-multiplication by $\begin{pmatrix}1&\\&q\end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix}1/q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}$, our reverse construction involves left-multiplication by $\begin{pmatrix}1&\\&1/q\end{pmatrix}E$ or $\begin{pmatrix}q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}E$ where $E\in{}^t\mathcal{G}_1$.

Proposition 5.5. *For $\nu=+$ or $-$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{1,2,\nu}|T(q)=\begin{cases}\nu\chi_{\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_2}(q)q^{k-3}(q^2-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_2 & \text{if } \chi_q\neq 1,\\ \chi_{\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_2}(q)q^{k-3}(q^2-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_2 & \text{if } \chi_q=1.\end{cases}$$

Proof. Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $1,2,\nu$. We can assume q divides row 2 of M. Set

$$(M'\ N')=\begin{pmatrix}1&\\&1/q\end{pmatrix}(M\ qN+MY).$$

Then $\operatorname{rank}_q M'=2$ so $(M',N')=1$. Also, $\chi_\sigma(M',N')=\chi_{\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_2}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$.

Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q-type 2. Set

$$(M\ N)=\begin{pmatrix}1&\\&q\end{pmatrix}E\left(M'\ \frac{1}{q}(N'-M'Y)\right).$$

For each E, there are $q-1$ choices for Y so that N is integral and $(M,N)=1$. To have $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $1,2,\nu$, we need $\psi_1(M,N)=\nu\psi\left(\frac{1}{q}\det M\right)$. Write $EM'=\begin{pmatrix}m_1&m_2\\m_3&m_4\end{pmatrix}$, $EN'=\begin{pmatrix}n_1&n_2\\n_3&n_4\end{pmatrix}$. We need to choose Y so that $(n_1\ n_2)\equiv(m_1\ m_2)Y\ (q)$. If $q\nmid m_1$ we can choose any y_4, then solve for y_2,y_1. If $q\nmid m_2$ we can choose any y_1, then solve for y_2, y_4. In either case, 1 solution is $Y \equiv \overline{M}' N'$ (q), which gives $N \equiv 0$ (q) and hence $(M,N) \neq 1$. So when $q \nmid m_1 m_4$ there is 1 choice of y_4 so that $n_4 \equiv m_3 y_2 + m_4 y_4$ (q); similarly, when $q \nmid m_2 m_3$ there is 1 choice of y_1 so that $n_3 \equiv m_3 y_1 + m_4 y_2$ (q). Consequently $\psi_1(M,N) = \nu\psi\left(\frac{1}{q}\det M\right)$ for $(q-1)/2$ choices of Y. Also, $\psi(\det M') = \psi\left(\frac{1}{q}\det M\right)$, yielding the result. □

Proposition 5.6. $\mathbb{E}_{0,0}|T(q) = \chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)\mathbb{E}_0$.

Proof. Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0,0$. Set $(M'\ N') = \frac{1}{q}(M\ qN + MY)$. Thus $\operatorname{rank}_q M' = 0$, $\operatorname{rank}_q N' = \operatorname{rank}_q N = 2$. Also, $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$.

Reversing, $\chi(\det N) = \chi(\det N')$ for all choices of Y, yielding the result. □

Proposition 5.7. *Take $\nu = +$ or $-$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,1,\nu}|T(q) = \begin{cases} \nu\chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)q^{-1}(q-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_1 & \textit{if } \chi_q \neq 1, \\ \chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)q^{-1}(q-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_1 & \textit{if } \chi_q = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0,1,\nu$; can assume q divides row 2 of M. Set

$$(M'\ N') = \frac{1}{q}(M\ qN + MY).$$

So $\operatorname{rank}_q(M',N') = 2$, and $(M',N') = 1$. Also, $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$.

Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q-type 1; we find there are $q^2(q-1)/2$ choices for Y so that $\nu\psi(\det N) = \psi_1\left(\frac{1}{q}M, N\right)$. □

Proposition 5.8. *For $\nu = +$ or $-$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,2,\nu}|T(q) = \begin{cases} \nu\chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)q^{-2}(q-1)(q+\nu\epsilon)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_2 & \textit{if } \chi_q \neq 1, \\ \chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)q^{-2}(q-1)(q+\nu\epsilon)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_2 & \textit{if } \chi_q = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0,2,\nu$. Set

$$(M'\ N') = \frac{1}{q}(M\ qN + MY).$$

Thus $\operatorname{rank}_q M' = 2$ so $(M',N') = 1$, and $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}_1}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$.

Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q-type 2. We need to choose Y so that $\nu\psi(\det N) = \psi(\det M')$, or equivalently, we need

$$\nu\psi(\det(N'\,{}^tM' - M'Y\,{}^tM')) = 1.$$

As Y varies over $\mathbb{F}^{2,2}_{\text{sym}}$, so does $U = N'\,{}^tM' - M'Y\,{}^tM'$. The number of $U \in \mathbb{F}^{2,2}_{\text{sym}}$ so that $\psi(\det U) = 1$ is $q(q-1)(q+\epsilon)/2$, and the number of U so that $\psi(\det U) = -1$ is $q(q-1)(q-\epsilon)/2$. □

Proposition 3.8 of [18] tells us the following.

Proposition 5.9. *We have* $\mathbb{E}_2|T_1(q^2)=(q+1)q^{2k-3}\mathbb{E}_2$.

Proposition 5.10. *We have*

$$\mathbb{E}_{1,1}|T_1(q^2)=\begin{cases} q^{2k-2}\mathbb{E}_{1,1}+q\mathbb{E}_1 & \textit{if } \chi_q\neq 1,\\ q^{-1}(q^2-1)\mathbb{E}_2+q^{2k-2}\mathbb{E}_{1,1}+q\mathbb{E}_1 & \textit{if } \chi_q=1.\end{cases}$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 [5],

$$\begin{aligned} &2\mathbb{E}_{1,1}(\tau)|T_1(q^2)\\ &\quad=q^{-3}\sum\chi_\sigma\chi_1(M,N)\\ &\qquad\cdot\det\left(M\begin{pmatrix}1/q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}(G^{-1}\tau+Y\,{}^tG)\,{}^tG^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}1/q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}+N\right)^{-k}\\ &\quad=q^{k-3}\sum\chi_\sigma\chi_1(M,N)\\ &\qquad\cdot\det\left(M\begin{pmatrix}1/q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}\tau+N\begin{pmatrix}q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}{}^tG+M\begin{pmatrix}1/q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}Y\right)^{-k}, \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalence class representatives of $\mathcal{N}/q$-type σ, q^2-type $1,1$.

Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $1,1$; we can assume q^2 divides row 2 of M.

Suppose q does not divide column 1 of M. By symmetry, q divides row 2 of $N\begin{pmatrix}q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}$ if and only if q divides row 2 of N; hence q does not divide row 2 of $N\begin{pmatrix}q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}$. Thus $(M',N')=1$ where

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1})=\begin{pmatrix}q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}\left(M\begin{pmatrix}1/q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}\quad N\begin{pmatrix}q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}+M\begin{pmatrix}1/q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}Y\right).$$

Thus M' is q^2-type $1,1$, since $q\nmid M'$, $q^2|\det M'$, and $\chi_\sigma(M',N')=\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $1,1$ and set

$$(M\ N)=\begin{pmatrix}1/q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}E\left(M'G\begin{pmatrix}q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}\quad(N'\,{}^tG^{-1}-M'GY)\begin{pmatrix}1/q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}\right).$$

We can assume q^2 divides row 2 of M'. If q^2 divides row 1 of EM', then we cannot solve $(n_1\ n_2)\equiv(m_1\ m_2)Y\ (q)$. So suppose q^2 divides row 2 of EM' (this is the case for q choices of E). To have M integral, choose the unique G so that $q|m_2$. Then $q\nmid m_1$, and by symmetry, $q|n_3$ (and hence $q\nmid n_4$). Now choose y_2 so that $n_2\equiv m_1y_2\ (q)$; then choose y_1 so that $n_1\equiv m_1y_1+m_2y_2\ (q^2)$. So the contribution from this case is $q^{k-3}q^kq\mathbb{E}_{1,1}$.

Suppose q divides column 1 of M. So $\operatorname{rank}_q M\begin{pmatrix}1/q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}=1$ with q dividing its 2nd row. Thus $M\equiv\begin{pmatrix}0 & b\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}$ (q), $q\nmid b$, so by symmetry $N\equiv\begin{pmatrix}* & *\\ c & 0\end{pmatrix}$ (q) with $q\nmid c$ since $(M,N)=1$. Thus with

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1})=\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & 1/q\end{pmatrix}\left(M\begin{pmatrix}1/q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}\ \ N\begin{pmatrix}q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}+M\begin{pmatrix}1/q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}Y\right),$$

we have $(M'\ N')$ integral and coprime. Also, we know M' is q^2-type 2 or $1,1$ since $q^2|\det M$, $q\nmid M'$, and $\chi_\sigma(M',N')=\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, have

$$(M\ N)=\begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & q\end{pmatrix}E\left(M'G\begin{pmatrix}q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}\ \ (N'\,{}^tG^{-1}-M'GY)\begin{pmatrix}1/q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}\right),$$

where $E\in\mathcal{G}_1$.

Suppose first that M' is q^2-type $1,1$; we can assume q divides row 2 of M'. To have $\operatorname{rank}_q M=1$, we choose G so that $q\nmid m_2$. There are q choices of E so that q does not divide row 1 of EM'; then have q choices of G so that $q\nmid m_2$. Then by symmetry, $q\nmid n_3$. Now, for any choice of y_1, choose the unique y_2 modulo q so that $n_1\equiv m_1y_1+m_2y_2$ (q). Then N is integral, and the contribution from this situation is $q^{k-3}q^{-k}q^4\mathbb{E}_1$.

Now suppose $\operatorname{rank}_q M'=2$. For each choice of E we have q choices of G so that M is q^2-type $1,1$. Summing over those Y so that N is integral with $(M,N)=1$, we have

$$\sum_Y\chi_1(M,N)=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } \chi_q\neq1,\\ q(q-1) & \text{if } \chi_q=1,\end{cases}$$

proving the proposition. □

Proposition 5.11. *Let $\nu=+$ or $-$. We have*

$$\mathbb{E}_{1,2,\nu}|T_1(q^2)=\begin{cases}q^{2k-2}\mathbb{E}_{1,2,\nu}+\nu\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k-3}(q^2-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_2 & \textit{if } \chi_q\neq1,\\ q^{2k-2}\mathbb{E}_{1,2,\nu}+\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k-3}(q^2-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_2 & \textit{if } \chi_q=1.\end{cases}$$

Proof. Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $1,2,\nu$; we can assume q divides row 2 of M.

Suppose q does not divide column 1 of M. Set

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1})=\begin{pmatrix}q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}\left(M\begin{pmatrix}1/q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}\ \ N\begin{pmatrix}q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}+M\begin{pmatrix}1/q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}Y\right).$$

So $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type $1,2$ and $\det M=\det M'$, $\chi_\sigma(M',N')=\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ q^2-type $1,2$; we find there are q choices for E, and for each E there are unique choices for G and Y so that M,N are integral and coprime. Thus the contribution from this case is

$$q^{k-3}q^kqE_{1,2,\nu}.$$

Suppose q divides column 1 of M. Set

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = \left(M\begin{pmatrix}1/q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}\quad N\begin{pmatrix}q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix} + M\begin{pmatrix}1/q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}Y\right).$$

We have $\operatorname{rank}_q M\begin{pmatrix}1/q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix} = 2$ and $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q-type 2; since $\operatorname{rank}_q M' = 2$, for each G, we can replace $(M'\ N')$ by $E(M'\ N')$ so that $q|m_4$ (and hence $q\nmid m_2m_3$); as well, there are unique u, y_2 modulo q so that

$$\begin{pmatrix}n_1\\ n_3\end{pmatrix} \equiv M'G\begin{pmatrix}u\\ y_2\end{pmatrix}\ (q).$$

Set $y_1 = u + qu'$, u' varying modulo q. Then $\psi_1(M,N) = \psi(a + m_2m_3u')$ where a depends only on M', N', u, y_2. So there are $(q-1)/2$ ways to choose u' so that $\nu\psi_1(M,N) = \psi\left(\frac{1}{q}\det M\right)$. Also, $\psi\left(\frac{1}{q}\det M\right) = \psi(\det M')$. So, recalling that we have $q{+}1$ choices for G, the contribution from this case is $\nu\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k-3}(q^2-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_2$ when $\chi_q \neq 1$, and $\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k-3}(q^2-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_2$ when $\chi_q = 1$. □

Proposition 5.12. *We have*

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,0}|T_1(q^2) = \begin{cases} q\mathbb{E}_{0,0} + \mathbb{E}_0 + \epsilon(\mathbb{E}_{0,2,+} - \mathbb{E}_{0,2,-}) & \text{if } \chi_q \neq 1,\\ q^{-1}(q-1)\mathbb{E}_{1,1} + q\mathbb{E}_{0,0} + \mathbb{E}_0 + \epsilon(\mathbb{E}_{0,2,+} - \mathbb{E}_{0,2,-}) & \text{if } \chi_q = 1.\end{cases}$$

Proof. With $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0,0$. We have $q^2|M$ and $\operatorname{rank}_q N\begin{pmatrix}q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix} = 1$. We can adjust the representative so that

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & 1/q\end{pmatrix}\left(M\begin{pmatrix}1/q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}\quad N\begin{pmatrix}q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix} + M\begin{pmatrix}1/q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}Y\right)$$

is a coprime pair. We have $q^4|\det M$ so $q^2|\det M'$; thus $\operatorname{rank}_q M' = 0$ or M' is q^2-type $1,1$, $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_\sigma(M,N)$.

Reversing, first take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $1,1$. We find there are unique E and G so that N is integral and M is q^2-type $0,0$; summing over Y so that $\operatorname{rank}_q N = 2$, we have

$$\sum_Y \chi(\det N) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \chi_q \neq 1,\\ q^2(q-1) & \text{if } \chi_q = 1.\end{cases}$$

So the contribution from these terms is 0 if $\chi_q \neq 1$, and $q^{k-3}\cdot q^{-k}\cdot q^2(q-1)\mathbb{E}_{1,1}$ otherwise.

Now suppose $(M'\ N')$ is q^2-type $0,0$; for each E there is a unique G so that N is integral. Then for all Y, $\chi_q(\det N) = \chi_q(\det N')$, so we get a contribution of $(q+1)\mathbb{E}_{0,0}$.

Next suppose $(M'\ N')$ is q^2-type $0,1$. Then for any Y, there are unique E and G so that $(M\ N)$ is an integral pair of q^2-type $0,0$, giving us a contribution of $\mathbb{E}_{0,1}$.

Last, suppose $(M'\ N')$ is q^2-type $0,2$. For each E, there is a unique G so that $q|n_1$. Let $V = \mathbb{F}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}x_2$ be equipped with the quadratic form given by $\frac{1}{q}M'\,{}^tN'$ relative to $(x_1\ x_2)$. Thus relative to $(x_1'\ x_2') = (x_1\ x_2)E$, the quadratic form is given by

$$\frac{1}{q}EM'\,{}^tN'\,{}^tE = \frac{1}{q}\begin{pmatrix} m_2n_2 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^{2,2}$$

where $EM'G = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ m_3 & m_4 \end{pmatrix}$, $EN'\,{}^tG^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 \\ n_3 & n_4 \end{pmatrix}$. Also, $\mathbb{F}x_1'$ varies over all lines in V as E varies. We need $q^2|m_2$ for $(M\ N)$ to be q^2-type $0,0$; there are 2 choices for E that do this if $V \simeq \mathbb{H}$, and 0 choices otherwise. So the contribution $2\mathbb{E}_{0,2,\epsilon}$. □

Proposition 5.13. *For $\nu = +$ or $-$, $\chi_q \neq 1$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,1,\nu}|T_1(q^2) = \nu\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k-2}(q-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{1,1} + q\mathbb{E}_{0,1,\nu} + q/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{0,2} - \epsilon/2\cdot(\mathbb{E}_{0,2,+} - \mathbb{E}_{0,2,-}).$$

For $\nu = +$ or $-$, $\chi_q = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_{0,1,\nu}|T_1(q^2) \\ &\quad = q^{-1}(q-1)\mathbb{E}_{1,2,\nu} + \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k-2}(q-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{1,1} + q\mathbb{E}_{0,1,\nu} \\ &\qquad + q/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{0,2} - 1/2\cdot(\mathbb{E}_{0,2,\epsilon} - \mathbb{E}_{0,2,-\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Take $(M\ N)$ q^2-type $0,1,\nu$.

Suppose $(M', N') = 2$ where

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = \left(M\begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\ \ N\begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} + M\begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}Y\right).$$

Since $\operatorname{rank}_q N\begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1$, we must have $\operatorname{rank}_q M' = 1$. We know $q^3|\det M$, so $q^2|\det M'$, thus M' must be q^2-type $1,1$ and $\chi_\sigma(M', N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M, N)$. Reversing, with $(M'\ N')$ q^2-type $1,1$, we have a unique choice of G and $q(q-1)/2$ choices of Y so that $(M\ N)$ is an integral pair of q^2-type $0,1,\nu$. So the contribution from these terms is $\nu\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k-3}q(q-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{1,1}$ when $\chi_q \neq 1$, and $\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k-3}q(q-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{1,1}$ when $\chi_q = 1$.

Now suppose $\operatorname{rank}_q\left(M\begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\ N\begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1$. Adjust the representative so that

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \frac{1}{q} \end{pmatrix}E\left(M\begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\ \ N\begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} + M\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{q} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}Y\right)$$

is integral; note that $\operatorname{rank}_q N' = 2$. Also, $q^3|\det M$ so $q|\det M'$. Thus $\operatorname{rank}_q M' = 0$ or 1, and $\chi_\sigma(M', N') = \chi_\sigma(M, N)$.

Suppose first that $(M'\ N')$ is of q-type 1. There are unique choices of E and G, and $q^2(q-1)$ choices of Y so that $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type $0,1$, and $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type $0,1,\nu$ if and only if $(M'\ N')$ is q^2-type $1,2,\nu$. Then

$$\sum_Y \chi(\det N) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \chi_q \neq 1, \\ q^2(q-1) & \text{if } \chi_q = 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence the contribution from this case is 0 if $\chi_q \neq 1$, and $q^{k-3} \cdot q^{-k} \cdot q^2(q-1)\mathbb{E}_{1,2,\nu}$ if $\chi_q = 1$.

Next, suppose $\text{rank}_q M' = 0$. To have M q^2-type $0,1$, we need M' to be q^2-type $0,1$ or $0,2$. First suppose M' is q^2-type $0,1$. We have q choices of E and a unique choice of G so that M is q^2-type $0,1$ and N is integral; for $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $0,1,\nu'$, we have $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0,1,\nu'$ for all choices of Y. So the contribution from these terms is $q^{k-3}q^{-k}qq^3\mathbb{E}_{0,1,\nu} = q\mathbb{E}_{0,1,\nu}$, for $\chi_q \neq 1$ or 1.

Finally, suppose M' is q^2-type $0,2$. For each E there is a unique G so that N is integral. We know M is q^2-type $0,1$ if and only if $q^2 \nmid m_2$ where $EM'G = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ m_3 & m_4 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $V = \mathbb{F}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}x_2$ be equipped with the quadratic form given by $\frac{1}{q}M'\,{}^tN'$ relative to $(x_1\ x_2)$. So relative to $(x_1'\ x_2') = (x_1\ x_2)\,{}^tE$, the quadratic form is given by $\frac{1}{q}EM'\,{}^tN'\,{}^tE$, and $\mathbb{F}x_1'$ varies over all lines in V as E varies. We have $q^2 \nmid m_2$ if and only if $\mathbb{F}x_1'$ is anisotropic. Also, we have $\psi(\det N) = \psi(\det N')$, and $(M'\ N')$ is q^2-type $0,1,\nu$ if and only if $\nu\psi_1\left(\frac{1}{q}M, N\right) = \psi(\det N)$, or equivalently, if and only if $\nu\psi(m_2n_2/q) = 1$ where $EN'\,{}^tG^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 \\ n_3 & n_4 \end{pmatrix}$. We know that $\mathbb{H}$ contains $(q-1)/2$ lines representing only squares, $(q-1)/2$ lines representing only (non-zero) non-squares. Similarly, $\mathbb{A}$ contains $(q+1)/2$ lines representing only squares, $(q+1)/2$ lines representing only (non-zero) non-squares. Consequently, the contribution from this case is

$$(q-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{0,2,\epsilon} + (q+1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{0,2,-\epsilon}$$

for $\chi_q \neq 1$ or 1. □

Proposition 5.14. *We have*

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,2,\epsilon}|T_1(q^2) = \begin{cases} \epsilon q^{-2}(q^2-1)\mathbb{E}_2 + \epsilon\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k-2}(q-1)/2\big(\mathbb{E}_{1,2,+} - \mathbb{E}_{1,2,-}\big) & \text{if } \chi_q \neq 1, \\ q^{-2}(q^2-1)\mathbb{E}_2 + \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k-2}(q-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{1,2} & \text{if } \chi_q = 1; \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,2,-\epsilon}|T_1(q^2) = \begin{cases} -\epsilon\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k-2}(q-1)/2\big(\mathbb{E}_{1,2,+} - \mathbb{E}_{1,2,-}\big) & \text{if } \chi_q \neq 1, \\ \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k-2}(q-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{1,2} & \text{if } \chi_q = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0,2,\nu$ where $\nu = +$ or $-$.

Suppose $\operatorname{rank}_q \left(M \begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\ N \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 1$. Then adjusting the representative for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})(M\ N)$, we have

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1/q \end{pmatrix} \left(M \begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\quad N \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} + M \begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} Y \right)$$

integral pair with $\operatorname{rank}_q M' = 2$. Also, $\chi_\sigma(M', N') = \chi_\sigma(M, N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ q-type 2. For each E there is a unique G so that M is q^2-type $0,2$. To have N integral, we choose y_1 so that $n_1 \equiv m_1 y_1\ (q)$ where $EM'G = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ m_3 & m_4 \end{pmatrix}$ and $EN'\,{}^tG^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 \\ n_3 & n_4 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\det N) &= \psi(-(n_2 - m_1 y_1)(n_3 - m_3 y_1 - m_4)) \\ &= \psi(-m_1 m_4)\psi((y_2 - \overline{m}_1 n_2)(y_2 - \overline{m}_4 n_3 - \overline{m}_4 m_3 y_1)) \\ &= \epsilon\psi(\det M')\psi^2(y_2 - \overline{m}_1 n_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $q(q-1)$ choices of Y, $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type $0,2,\epsilon$, and there are no choices of Y so that $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type $0,2,-\epsilon$. So the contribution to $\mathbb{E}_{0,2,\epsilon}|T_1(q^2)$ is $\epsilon q^{-2}(q^2-1)\mathbb{E}_2$ if $\chi_q \neq 1$, $q^{-2}(q^2-1)\mathbb{E}_2$ if $\chi_q = 1$; the contribution to $\mathbb{E}_{0,2,-\epsilon}|T_1(q^2) = 0$.

Suppose $(M', N') = 1$ where

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = \left(M \begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\quad N \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} + M \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} Y \right).$$

So M' is q^2-type $1,2$, and $\chi_\sigma(M', N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M, N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ q^2-type $1,2$. There is a unique G so that $q|M$, and $q(q-1)/2$ choices for Y so that N is integral with $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0,2,\nu$. Then when $(M'\ N')$ is q^2-type $1,2,\nu'$, we have

$$\psi_1(M', N') = \nu' \left(\frac{1/q \cdot \det M'}{q} \right) = \nu' \left(\frac{\det(M/q)}{q} \right) = \nu\nu' \left(\frac{\det N}{q} \right).$$

So when $\chi_q \neq 1$, the contribution is $\nu\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q) q^{k-2}(q-1)/2 \cdot (\mathbb{E}_{1,2,+} - \mathbb{E}_{1,2,-})$. When $\chi_q = 1$, the contribution is $\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q) q^{k-2}(q-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{1,2}$. $\square$

To prove our main theorem, we will only be applying $A_0(q)$ in the case that $\chi_q = 1$, and $A_1(q), A_2(q)$ in the case that $\chi_q \neq 1$.

Proposition 5.15. *For $\chi_q = 1$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_2|A_0^*(q) = (q+1)\mathbb{E}_2 + \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q) q^k \mathbb{E}_{1,2}.$$

Proof. Note that since $\mathrm{rank}_q Y \le 1$, we have $\mathrm{rank}_q MY = \mathrm{rank}_q Y \le 1$.

In the case that $\mathrm{rank}_q MY = 1$, we adjust the representative so that

$$(M'\,{}^tG^{-1}\ N'G) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & q \end{pmatrix} E'(M\ N + MY/q)$$

is integral; then $(M'\ N')$ is q^2-type $1,2$, and $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, there are unique E,G,Y so that M,N are integral; then $\mathrm{rank}_q M = 2$, and we get a contribution of

$$\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^k\mathbb{E}_{1,2}.$$

Now say $q|MY$; thus Y must be 0, and we set $(M'\,{}^tG^{-1}\ N'G) = (M\ N)$. So the contribution from this case is $(q+1)\mathbb{E}_2$. □

Proposition 5.16. *For* $\chi_q = 1$*,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{1,1}|A_0^*(q) = 2q\mathbb{E}_{1,1} + \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k+1}\mathbb{E}_{0,1}.$$

Proof. Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $1,1$; we can assume q^2 divides row 2 of M.

Suppose MY/q is integral. So q does not divide row 1 of M or row 2 of $N+MY/q$; thus $(M'\,{}^tG^{-1}\ N'G) = (M\ N+MY/q)$ is of q^2-type $1,1$. Also, $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $1,1$; we can assume q^2 divides row 2 of M'. To have N integral, we need q dividing column 1 of $M'\,{}^tG^{-1}Y$. We have a unique choice of G so that q divides column 1 of $M'\,{}^tG^{-1}$, then we can choose any y. For the other q choices of G, we must take $y \equiv 0\ (q)$. Also, $\psi_1(M,N) = \psi_1(M',N')$. So the contribution from this case is $2q\mathbb{E}_{1,1}$.

Suppose MY/q is not integral; then with

$$(M'\,{}^tG^{-1}\ N'G) = \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} (M\ N - MY/q),$$

$(M'\ N')$ is q^2-type $0,1$, and $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $0,1$; assume q^2 divides row 2 of M'. Then we have q choices of E, and for each a unique choice of G and Y so that $(M\ N)$ is integral of q^2-type $1,1$. Thus the contribution from this case is $\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k+1}(\mathbb{E}_{0,1,+} - \mathbb{E}_{0,1,-})$. □

Proposition 5.17. *For* $\chi_q = 1$*,* $\nu = +$ *or* $-$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{1,2,\nu}|A_0^*(q) = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{-k}(q^2-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_2 + (q+1)\mathbb{E}_{1,2,\nu} + (q-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{1,2}\\ + \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k+1}/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{0,2} - \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^k/2\cdot(\mathbb{E}_{0,2,\epsilon} - \mathbb{E}_{0,2,-\epsilon}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. When MY/q is integral and $\mathrm{rank}_q(M\ N+MY/q) = 1$, we set

$$(M'\,{}^tG^{-1}\ \ N'G) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1/q \end{pmatrix} (M\ N + MY/q).$$

Thus $\mathrm{rank}_q M' = 2$, $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q-type 2. To have $(M\ N)$ integral of q^2-type $1,2,\nu$, for each choice of E we have a unique choice of G and $q-1$ choices for y, giving us a contribution of $\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{-k}(q^2-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_2$.

When MY/q is integral and $\mathrm{rank}_q(M\ N+MY/q)=2$, set

$$(M'\,{}^tG^{-1}\ \ N'G) = (M\ N+MY/q).$$

Then $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $1,2$. If $q|y$ then can choose any G, and $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type $1,2,\nu$ provided $(M'\ N')$ is, giving a contribution of $(q+1)\mathbb{E}_{1,2,\nu}$. There are $(q-1)/2$ choices for $y\not\equiv 0\ (q)$ and for each a unique choice of G so that $(M\ N)$ is integral of q^2-type $1,2,\nu$, giving us a contribution of $(q-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{1,2}$.

Say MY/q is not integral. Assuming q divides row 2 of M', we set

$$(M'\,{}^tG^{-1}\ \ N'G) = \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}(M\ N+MY/q);$$

so M' is q^2-type $0,2$, $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $0,2$. For each choice of E there are unique choices for G and y so that $(M\ N)$ is an integral pair of q^2-type $1,2$. Looking at $V\simeq \frac{1}{q}M'\,{}^tN'$, to have $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $1,2,\nu$, we find there are $(q-1)/2$ choices for E when $V\simeq\mathbb{H}$ (modulo q) and $(q+1)/2$ choices for E when $V\simeq\mathbb{A}$ (modulo q). Thus the contribution from these terms is

$$\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\big(q^k(q-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{0,2,\epsilon} + q^k(q+1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{0,2,-\epsilon}\big).$$

Combining the terms yields the result. □

Proposition 5.18. *For* $\chi_q = 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,0}|A_0^*(q) = q(q+1)\mathbb{E}_{0,0}.$$

Proof. Set $(M'\,{}^tG^{-1}\ N'G) = (M\ N+MY/q)$. So $N'G\equiv N\ (q)$, and $(M'\ N')$ is q^2-type $0,0$. Reversing, for $(M'\ N')$ is q^2-type $0,0$, $(M\ N) = (M'\,{}^tG^{-1}\ N'G - M'\,{}^tG^{-1}Y/q)$ is a coprime pair of q^2-type $0,0$ for each choice of G,Y. □

Proposition 5.19. *For* $\chi_q=1$, $\nu = +$ *or* $-$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,1,\nu}|A_0^*(q) = q\mathbb{E}_{0,1,\nu} + q(q-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{0,1} + \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{1-k}(q-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{1,1}.$$

Proof. Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0,1,\nu$; we can assume q^2 divides row 2 of M.

Suppose $\mathrm{rank}_q(N+MY/q)=2$; set

$$(M'\,{}^tG^{-1}\ N'G) = (M\ N+MY/q).$$

So $(M'\ N')$ is q^2-type $0,1$, $\chi_\sigma(M',N')=\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $0,1$ and set

$$(M\ N)=(M'\,{}^tG^{-1}\ N'G-M'\,{}^tG^{-1}Y/q).$$

We can assume q^2 divides row 2 of M'. Write $M'\,{}^tG^{-1}=q\begin{pmatrix}m_1&m_2\\qm_3&qm_4\end{pmatrix}$, $N'G=\begin{pmatrix}n_1&n_2\\n_3&n_4\end{pmatrix}$. First say $q|m_1$ (this is the case for 1 choice of G). So $q\nmid m_2$ and by symmetry, $q|n_4$, so $q\nmid n_2n_3$. Then $\det N\equiv -n_2n_3\not\equiv 0\ (q)$, $\det N'\equiv\det N\ (q)$, and $\psi_1(M/q,N)=\psi_1(M'/q,N')$. So $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type $0,1,\nu$ if and only if $(M'\ N')$ is. We have q choices for Y, so the contribution from these terms is $q\mathbb{E}_{0,1,\nu}$. Now suppose $q\nmid m_1$; there are q choices for G so that this is the case. By symmetry, $q\nmid n_4$. To have $(M\ N)$ q^2-type $0,1,\nu$, we need

$$\nu\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right)=\nu\left(\frac{(n_1-m_1u)n_4-n_2n_3}{q}\right)=\psi_1(M/q,N)=\left(\frac{m_1n_4}{q}\right);$$

so we have $(q-1)/2$ choices for Y. We have q choices for G, so the contribution from these terms is $q(q-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{0,1}$.

Say $\operatorname{rank}_q(N+MY/q)=1$. We know q does not divide row 2 of $N+MY/q$, so adjusting our representative $(M\ N)$,

$$(M'\,{}^tG^{-1}\ N'G)=\begin{pmatrix}1/q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}(M\ N+MY/q)$$

is an integral pair of q^2-type $1,1$ and $\chi_\sigma(M',N')=\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ q^2-type $1,1$; there is 1 choice of E, q choices of G, and $(q-1)/2$ choices of y so that $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type $0,1,\nu$. So the contribution from these terms is $q^{1-k}(q-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{1,1}$. □

Proposition 5.20. *For $\chi_q=1$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,2,\epsilon}|A_0^*(q)=(q+1)^2/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{0,2,\epsilon}+(q^2-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{0,2,-\epsilon}+\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{1-k}(q-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{1,2},$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,2,-\epsilon}|A_0^*(q)=(q-1)^2/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{0,2,\epsilon}+(q^2-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{0,2,-\epsilon}+\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{1-k}(q-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_{1,2}.$$

Proof. Take $\nu=+$ or $-$. Note that $\operatorname{rank}_q(N+MY/q)\ge 1$ since q does not divide column 2 of N.

When $\operatorname{rank}_q(N+MY/q)=2$. Set $(M'\,{}^tG^{-1}\ N'G)=(M\ N+MY/q)$. So $(M'\ N')$ is q^2-type $0,2$, and $\chi_\sigma(M',N')=\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $0,2,\nu'$, and set $(M\ N)=(M'\,{}^tG^{-1}\ N'G-M'\,{}^tG^{-1}Y/q)$; write $M'\,{}^tG^{-1}=q\begin{pmatrix}m_1&m_2\\m_3&m_4\end{pmatrix}$, $N'G=\begin{pmatrix}n_1&n_2\\n_3&n_4\end{pmatrix}$. To have $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0,2,\nu$, we need

$q \nmid \det N$ and $\nu\left(\frac{\det MN/q}{q}\right) = 1$. Set $M_0 = M'/q$, $d = \det M_0$. Let $V = \mathbb{F}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}x_2 \simeq \overline{M}_0 N'$. Then with $(x_1'\ x_2') = (x_1\ x_2)G$, $\mathbb{F}x_2'$ varies over all lines in V. Writing $M_0\,{}^tG^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ m_3 & m_4 \end{pmatrix}$, $N'G = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 \\ n_3 & n_4 \end{pmatrix}$, we have $Q(x_2') = \overline{d}(m_1n_4 - m_3n_2)$ and

$$\det \overline{M}_0 N \equiv u\overline{d}(m_3n_2 - m_1n_4) + \det \overline{M}_0 N'\ (q).$$

Suppose first that $\nu' = \epsilon$; then for 2 choices of G, $q | m_3n_2 - m_1n_4$ and hence $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type $0, 2, \epsilon$ for all choices of y. For the other $q-1$ choices of G, $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type $0, 2, \epsilon$ for $(q-1)/2$ choices of y, and q^2-type $0, 2, -\epsilon$ for $(q-1)/2$ choices of y (and $(M, N) \neq 1$ for 1 choice of u). Suppose now that $\nu' = -\epsilon$; then for each choice of G, we have $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0, 2, \epsilon$ for $(q-1)/2$ choices of u, and q^2-type $0, 2, -\epsilon$ for $(q-1)/2$ choices of y. So the contribution from these terms is $(q+1)^2/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{0,2,\epsilon} + (q^2-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{0,2,-\epsilon}$ when $\nu = \epsilon$, and $(q-1)^2/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{0,2,\epsilon} + (q^2-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{0,2,-\epsilon}$ when $\nu = -\epsilon$.

When $\mathrm{rank}_q(N + MY/q) = 1$, adjust the representative $(M\ N)$ to assume q divides row 1 of $N + MY/q$, and set

$$(M'\,{}^tG^{-1}\ N'G) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} (M\ N + MY/q).$$

So is q^2-q^2-type $1, 2$ and $\chi_\sigma(M', N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M, N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $1, 2$; to have $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0, 2, \nu$, we have 1 choice of E, q choices of G, and $(q-1)/2$ choices of u. Then the contribution from these terms is $\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{1-k}(q-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{1,2}$. $\square$

Proposition 5.21. *For* $\chi_q \neq 1$, $\mathbb{E}_2 | A_1^*(q) = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q) q^{k+1}\, \mathbb{E}_{1,2}$.

Proof. Begin with $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type 2. Note that if $\mathrm{rank}_q MY = 0$ then $Y \equiv 0\ (q)$, so $\left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) = 0$; thus no contributions will come from $\mathrm{rank}_q MY = 0$.

Suppose $\mathrm{rank}_q MY = 2$. Then we take

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = q(M\ N + MY/q);$$

note that $\chi_\sigma(M', N') = \chi_\sigma(M, N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $0, 2$. To ensure N is integral, we need to take

$$Y \equiv G^{-1}M_0^{-1}N'\,{}^tG^{-1} \equiv \frac{1}{d}\begin{pmatrix} m_4 & -m_2 \\ -m_3 & m_1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 \\ n_3 & n_4 \end{pmatrix}\ (q)$$

where $M_0 = M'/q$ and $d = \det M_0$. Let $V = \mathbb{F}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}x_2 \simeq M_0^{-1}N'$, and let $(x_1'\ x_2') = (x_1\ x_2)\,{}^tG^{-1}$. Then as G (and thus Y) varies, $\mathbb{F}x_1'$ varies over all lines in V, and since V is regular,

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det M}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{\det(M'/q)}{q}\right)\sum_{\mathbb{F}x_1'}\left(\frac{Q(x_1')}{q}\right) = 0.$$

Suppose $\mathrm{rank}_q MY = 1$. Since we only need to consider Y where $q \nmid y_1$, and we know $\mathrm{rank}_q M = 2$, we know that q does not divide column 1 of MY. Adjust the representative $(M\ N)$ so that q divides row 1 of MY. Then

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & q \end{pmatrix} (M\ N + \frac{1}{q}MY)$$

is q^2-type $1,2$ and $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N') = 1$ of q^2-type $1,2$. To have N integral, we need $Y \equiv \overline{M}_0 \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} N'\ (q)$ and for q choices of G we have $y_1 \not\equiv 0\ (q)$. For each such Y, we have $\left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det M}{q}\right) = \psi_1(M',N')$. So the contribution from this case is $\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k+1}\mathbb{E}_{1,2}$. □

Proposition 5.22. *We have* $\mathbb{E}_{1,1}|A_1^*(q) = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k+2}\mathbb{E}_{0,1}$.

Proof. Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $1,1$ with q^2 dividing row 2 of M. So $\mathrm{rank}_q MY = 0$ or 1.

Suppose $\mathrm{rank}_q MY = 0$. Set

$$(M'G\ \ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = (M\ \ N + MY/q)\,;$$

then $(M',N') = 1$ and $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, choose $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $1,1$. We can assume q^2 divides row 2 of M'; then to have N integral, we need q dividing row 1 of $M'GY$. Then $\psi_1(M,N) = \psi_1(M',N')$ and $\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)\psi_1(M,N) = 0$.

Suppose $\mathrm{rank}_q MY = 1$ and $\mathrm{rank}_q \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1/q \end{pmatrix} MY + \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} N = 2$. Then

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} (M\ \ N + MY/q)$$

is a coprime pair; so M' is q^2-type $0,1$, and $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, choose $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $0,1$. To have N integral, we need to choose E so that q divides row 2 of EM'. Then for 1 choice of G there are unique choices for y_1 and y_2 so that N is integral, and in this case

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)\psi_1(M,N) = q\left(\frac{\det N'}{q}\right).$$

For the other q choices of G, y_1 can vary freely, and so the sum on corresponding Y is 0. So the contribution from these terms is $\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{k+2}\,\mathbb{E}_{0,1}$.

Suppose $\mathrm{rank}_q MY = 1$, $\mathrm{rank}_q \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1/q \end{pmatrix} MY + \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} N\right) = 1$. We can adjust the equivalence class representative so that

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1/q \end{pmatrix} (M\ N + MY/q)$$

is a coprime pair; then $(M'\ N')$ is of q^2-type $1,1$, and $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Hence q does not divide row 2 of M', or row 1 of N', and so $(M',N')=1$. Also, q^2 does not divide row 1 of M', but $\operatorname{rank}_q \begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} M' = 1$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $1,1$. To have M integral, we need to choose the unique E so that q divides row 1 of EM'. For 1 choice of G, we need to choose $y_1 \equiv 0\ (q)$ to have N integral, and then $\left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) = 0$. For the other q choices of G, y_1 varies freely, and then

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) \psi_1(M,N) = \psi_1(M',N') \sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) = 0.$$

Thus there is no contribution from this final case. □

Proposition 5.23. *For* $\chi_q \neq 1$, $\nu = +$ *or* $-$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{1,2,\nu}|A_1^*(q) = -\nu q \mathbb{E}_{1,2,\epsilon\nu} + \epsilon\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q) q^{1-k}(q^2-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_2 + \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q) q^{k+2}/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{0,2} \\ - \epsilon\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q) q^{k+1}/2 \cdot (\mathbb{E}_{0,2,+} - \mathbb{E}_{0,2,-}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $1,2,\nu$; we can assume that q divides row 2 of M.

Suppose q divides MY and

$$(M'G\ \ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = (M\ \ N + MY/q)$$

is a coprime pair. Then $(M'\ N')$ is q^2-type $1,2$ and $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $1,2,\nu'$. To have N integral with $q \nmid y_1$, we have q choices for G and $q-1$ choices for Y with y_1 free. Then $\psi_1(M,N) = \left(\frac{-m_2n_3-dy_1}{q}\right)$ where $M'G = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ m_3 & m_4 \end{pmatrix}$, $N'\,{}^tG^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 \\ n_3 & n_4 \end{pmatrix}$ and $d = \frac{1}{q}\det M'$. To have $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $1,2,\nu$, we need to choose y_1 so that for some $u \not\equiv 0\ (q)$,

$$y_1 \equiv \begin{cases} -u^2 - \overline{d} m_2 n_3 & \text{if } \nu = +, \\ -\omega u^2 - \overline{d} m_2 n_3 & \text{if } \nu = -. \end{cases}$$

Then summing over the corresponding Y we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) \psi_1(M,N) &= \nu \left(\frac{d}{q}\right) \sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) \\ &= \nu\nu' \psi_1(M',N') \sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) \\ &= \nu\nu' \psi_1(M,N) \sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right),\end{aligned}$$

and it is a standard exercise in number theory to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) &= -\epsilon\nu\left(1+\nu\left(\frac{dm_2n_3}{q}\right)\right)/2\\ &= \begin{cases} -\epsilon\nu & \text{if } \nu'=\epsilon\nu,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

So the contribution from this case is $-\nu q\mathbb{E}_{1,2,\epsilon\nu}$.

Suppose $q|MY$ and $\operatorname{rank}_q(M\ N+MY/q)=1$. Then

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix}1 & \\ & 1/q\end{pmatrix}(M\ N+MY/q)$$

is a coprime pair with M' of q^2-type 2 and $\chi_\sigma(M',N')=\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, choose $(M'\ N')$ of q-type 2. For each E there is a unique G so that $q|y_1$ when Y is chosen so that N is integral. So for each E we have q other choices for G, and choosing Y so that $(M\ N)$ is an integral pair of q^2-type $1,2,\nu$, we get

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)\psi_1(M,N) = \frac{\epsilon(q-1)}{2}\left(\frac{\det M'}{q}\right).$$

This gives us a contribution of $\epsilon\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{1-k}(q^2-1)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_2$.

Suppose q does not divide row 1 of MY and

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix}q & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}(M\ N+MY/q)$$

is a coprime pair. So $(M'\ N')$ is q^2-type $0,2$ and $\chi_\sigma(M',N')=\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $0,2,\nu'$. Take d so that $\det M'=q^2d$. Write

$$EM'G = q\begin{pmatrix}m_1 & m_2\\ m_3 & m_4\end{pmatrix},\ EN'\,{}^tG^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix}n_1 & n_2\\ n_3 & n_4\end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose first that $q|m_2$; for each choice of E, there is one choice of G so that this is the case. To have N integral we need $(n_1\ n_2)\equiv m_1(y_1\ y_2)\ (q)$. Then

$$\psi_1(M,N)=\left(\frac{m_1(n_4-m_3y_2-m_4y_4)}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{m_1n_4-m_3n_2-dy_4}{q}\right),$$

so we have $(q-1)/2$ choices for y_4 so that $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type $1,2,\nu$. Summing over corresponding Y, we have

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)\psi_1(M,N)=\nu\left(\frac{d}{q}\right)\frac{(q-1)}{2}\left(\frac{m_1n_1}{q}\right).$$

We know $V \simeq \frac{1}{q} M' \, {}^t N'$ is regular, thus as we let E vary we get

$$\sum_E \left(\frac{m_1 n_1}{q} \right) = 0.$$

Now suppose $q \nmid m_2$; for each G, we have q choices of E so that this is the case. Choose Y so that N is integral; hence y_1 is free and

$$\psi_1(M,N) = \left(\frac{-m_2(n_3 - m_3 y_1 - m_4 y_2)}{q} \right) = \left(\frac{m_4 n_1 - m_2 n_3 - d y_1}{q} \right).$$

Restricting our choice of y_1 so that $(M \ N)$ is q^2-type $1, 2, \nu$, and then summing over corresponding Y, we have

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q} \right) = \begin{cases} \epsilon\nu(q-1)/2 & \text{if } q | m_4 n_1 - m_2 n_3, \\ -\epsilon\nu \left(1 + \epsilon\nu \left(\frac{d(m_4 n_1 - m_2 n_3)}{q} \right) \right)/2 & \text{if } q \nmid m_4 n_1 - m_2 n_3. \end{cases}$$

With $M_0 = \frac{1}{q} M'$, take $V \simeq \overline{M}_0 N'$. Thus with $(x_1' \ x_2') = (x_1 \ x_2) \, {}^t G^{-1}$, $Q(x_1') = \overline{d}(m_4 n_1 - m_2 n_3)$. When $\epsilon = \nu'$, we have $V \simeq \mathbb{H}$ and then

$$\sum_{G,E,Y} \left(\frac{y_1}{q} \right) = q \cdot 2\epsilon\nu(q-1)/2 - q \cdot \epsilon\nu(q-1)/2 = \nu\nu' q(q-1)/2.$$

When $\epsilon \neq \nu'$, we have $V \simeq \mathbb{A}$ and then

$$\sum_{G,E,Y} \left(\frac{y_1}{q} \right) = -q \cdot \epsilon\nu(q+1)/2 = \nu\nu' q(q - \epsilon\nu')/2.$$

Thus the contribution is

$$\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q) q^{k+1} (q - \epsilon)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{0,2,+} + q^{k+1}(q+\epsilon)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{0,2,-}.$$

Suppose q does not divide row 1 of MY and $\operatorname{rank}_q \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} (N + MY/q) = 1$. Then we can adjust the equivalence class representative to assume q divides row 2 of $N + MY/q$; set

$$(M'G \ N' \, {}^t G^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1/q \end{pmatrix} (M \ N + MY/q).$$

So M' is q^2-type $1, 2$ and $\chi_\sigma(M', N') = \chi_\sigma(M, N)$. Reversing, take $(M' \ N')$ of q^2-type $1, 2, \nu'$. Then

$$(M \ N) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & q \end{pmatrix} E(M'G \ \ N' \, {}^t G^{-1} - M'GY/q)$$

where $E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q\alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with α varying modulo q.

Let $M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} M'$, $d = \det M_0$. Take

$$Y \equiv G^{-1}\overline{M}_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} N' \, {}^tG^{-1} - G^{-1}\overline{M}_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} {}^tG^{-1} \ (q).$$

For $(q-1)/2$ choices of v we have $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $1, 2, \nu$. With $V = \mathbb{F}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}x_2 \simeq GY\,{}^tG$, V is regular, and with $(x_1'\ x_2') = (x_1\ x_2)\,{}^tG^{-1}$, we have $Q(x_1') = y_1$. So letting Y vary with G, we have

$$\sum_G \psi_1(M,N)\left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) = \nu\left(\frac{d}{q}\right)\sum_{\mathbb{F}x_1'}\left(\frac{Q(x_1')}{q}\right) = 0$$

since $\mathbb{F}x_1'$ varies over all lines in V as G varies. Thus there is no contribution from this case. □

Proposition 5.24. *We have* $\mathbb{E}_{0,0}|A_1^*(q) = 0$.

Proof. With $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0, 0$

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = (M \quad N + MY/q)$$

is a coprime pair of q^2-type $0, 0$ and $\chi_\sigma(M', N') = \chi_\sigma(M, N)$. Reversing, we have $\det N' \equiv \det N\ (q)$ for all Y, so So $\det N' \equiv \det N\ (q)$, and

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right) = 0,$$

proving the proposition. □

Proposition 5.25. *For* $\chi_1 \neq 1$, $\nu = +$ *or* $-$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,1,\nu}|A_1^*(q) = \epsilon\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{2-k}(q-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{1,1} - \nu q^2 \mathbb{E}_{0,1,\epsilon\nu}.$$

Proof. Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0, 1$; we can assume q^2 divides row 2 of M.

Say $(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = (M\ N + MY/q)$ is a coprime pair; then $\chi_\sigma(M', N') = \chi_\sigma(M, N)$. Reversing, assume $(M'\ N')$ is q^2-type $0, 1, \nu'$ with q^2 dividing row 2 of M'.

$$(M\ N) = (M'G \quad N'\,{}^tG^{-1} - M'GY/q).$$

Write $M'G = q\begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ qm_3 & qm_4 \end{pmatrix}$, $N'\,{}^tG^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 \\ n_3 & n_4 \end{pmatrix}$; to have $(M, N) = 1$, we need $q \nmid \det N$. First suppose $q|n_3$; this is the case for 1 choice of G. Then $q \nmid n_1 n_4$, and by symmetry, $q|m_2$. To ensure $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type $0,1,\nu$, we need that for some $u \not\equiv 0\ (q)$,

$$y_1 \equiv \begin{cases} \overline{m}_1 n_1 - u^2 & \text{if } \nu = +, \\ \overline{m}_1 n_1 - \omega u^2 & \text{if } \nu = -. \end{cases}$$

Summing over the corresponding Y, we have

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right) = \nu \left(\frac{m_1 n_4}{q}\right) \sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)$$

and

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) = \begin{cases} -\epsilon\nu q^2 & \text{if } \epsilon\nu' = \nu, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So the contribution from this case is $-\nu q^2 \mathbb{E}_{0,1,\epsilon\nu}$. Now say $q \nmid n_3$; there are q choices of G so that this is the case, and by symmetry, $q \nmid m_2$. To have $q \nmid \det N$ with $(M\ N)$ q^2-type $0,1,\nu$, we can choose y_1, y_2 freely, leaving us with $(q-1)/2$ choices for y_4. Note that $\psi_1(M/q, N) = \psi_1(M'/q, N')$. Thus, summing over corresponding Y, we have

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right) = \nu\psi_1(M', N') \sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right), \quad \sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) = 0.$$

Thus the contribution from these terms is 0.

Suppose $\operatorname{rank}_q(N + MY/q) = 1$. We can adjust the equivalence class representative so that q divides row 1 of $N + MY/q$, and then

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} (M\ N + MY/q)$$

is an integral pair of q^2-type $1,1$. To have M of q^2-type $0,1$, we need q to divide row 2 of EM', thus we only need to consider $E = I$. For q choices of G, y_1 can be chosen freely; consequently $\psi_1(M/q, N) = \psi_1(M', N')$ and

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right) = \nu\psi_1(M', N') \sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) = 0.$$

For 1 choice of G, to have $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0,1,\nu$ we need $\epsilon\nu \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) = 1$; then

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right) = \epsilon q(q-1)/2 \cdot \psi_1(M', N').$$

So the contribution from these terms is $\epsilon\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q) q^{2-k}(q-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{1,1}$. $\square$

For the next proposition, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.26. *The $GL_2(\mathbb{F})$-orbit of a matrix for $\mathbb{H}$ has $q(q^2-1)/2$ elements; for any $a \in \mathbb{F}^\times$, there are $q(q-1)/2$ elements in this orbit of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$, and $q(q-1)$ elements of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$. The $GL_2(\mathbb{F})$-orbit of a matrix for $\mathbb{A}$ has $q(q-1)^2/2$ elements; for any $a \in \mathbb{F}^\times$, there are $q(q-1)/2$ elements in this orbit of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$, and none of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$.*

Proof. The number of elements in the orbit of $\mathbb{H}$ is

$$\frac{|GL_2(\mathbb{F})|}{o(\mathbb{H})} = \frac{(q^2-1)(q^2-q)}{2(q-1)} = \frac{q(q^2-1)}{2}.$$

Choose $a \in \mathbb{F}^\times$, and let V be a hyperbolic plane. There are $q-1$ vectors x_1 in V so that $Q(x_1) = a$. Thus the number of ways to choose a basis $(x_1\ x_2)$ for V so that Q is given by $\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$ relative to this basis is $(q-1)(q^2-q)$. Thus the number of matrices in the orbit of $\mathbb{H}$ of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$ is

$$\frac{(q-1)(q^2-q)}{o(\mathbb{H})} = q(q-1)/2.$$

Hence the number of matrices in the orbit of $\mathbb{H}$ of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$ is

$$\frac{q(q^2-1)}{2} - (q-1)\frac{q(q-1)}{2} = q(q-1).$$

The argument for the orbit of $\mathbb{A}$ is virtually identical, but here $o(\mathbb{A}) = 2(q+1)$, for for V an anisotropic plane and $a \in \mathbb{F}^\times$, there are $q+1$ vectors x_1 in V so that $Q(x_1) = a$. □

Proposition 5.27. *For $\chi_q \neq 1$, $\nu = +$ or $-$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,2,\nu}|A_1^*(q) = \epsilon\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{2-k}(q-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{1,2}.$$

Proof. Suppose $\operatorname{rank}_q(M + MY/q) = 0$. Set

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = \frac{1}{q}(M \quad N + MY/q);$$

so M' is q-type 2 and $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q-type 2. To have $(M\ N)$ q^2-type $0,2,\nu$, we need $\nu\left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right) = 1$. Thus Y varies over the orbit of I if $\nu = +$ and over the orbit of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \omega \end{pmatrix}$ if $\nu = -$. Summing over these Y and using Lemma 3.13, we have

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right) = \nu \left(\frac{\det M'}{q}\right)\frac{q(q-1)}{2}\sum_{y_1\in\mathbb{F}^\times}\left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) = 0.$$

Suppose $\operatorname{rank}_q(N + MY/q) = 1$. So adjusting $(M\ N)$, we can assume q divides row 1 of $N + MY/q$. Then

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}(M \quad N + MY/q)$$

is q^2-type $1, 2$, and $\chi_\sigma(M', N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M, N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q-type 2. We can assume q divides row 2 of M'. To have M of q^2-type $0, 2$ we need only consider $E = I$. Let $M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1/q \end{pmatrix} M'$ and set $d = \det M_0$; so M_0 is q-type 2, and

$$G^{-1}\overline{M}_0 N \equiv G^{-1}\overline{M}_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} N'\,{}^tG^{-1} - Y\ (q)$$

is symmetric modulo q. To have $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0, 2, \nu$, we need $\nu\left(\frac{\det \overline{M}_0 N}{q}\right) = 1$. So we vary Y so that $G^{-1}\overline{M}_0 N$ varies over the orbit of I if $\nu = +$, and over the orbit of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \omega \end{pmatrix}$ if $\nu = -$. Thus

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)\psi_1(M, N) = \nu\left(\frac{d}{q}\right)\sum_Y\left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right).$$

Using Lemma 3.13, we find that for q choices of G we have

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right) = \epsilon\nu q(q-1)/2 \cdot \left(\frac{d}{q}\right)\psi_1(M', N'),$$

and for 1 choice of G the sum on Y is 0. So the contribution from these terms is $\epsilon\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{2-k}(q-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{1,2}$.

Say $\operatorname{rank}_q(N + MY/q) = 2$; then

$$(M'G\ N'\,{}^tG^{-1}) = (M\ N + MY/q)$$

is q^2-type $0, 2$ and $\chi_\sigma(M', N') = \chi_\sigma(M, N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of

$$(M\ N) = (M'G \quad N'\,{}^tG^{-1} - M'GY/q)$$

q^2-type $0, 2$. To have $(M\ N)$ q^2-type $0, 2, \nu$, we need $\nu\left(\frac{\det NM_0}{q}\right) = 1$ where $M_0 = \frac{1}{q}M'$. We choose those Y so that $G^{-1}\overline{M}_0 N$ is in the $GL_2(\mathbb{F})$-orbit of I if

$\nu=+$, and in the orbit of $\begin{pmatrix}1&\\&\omega\end{pmatrix}$ if $\nu=-$. Then with $d=\det M_0$, and again using Lemma 3.13,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_Y\left(\frac{y_1}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right)\\&\quad=\nu\left(\frac{d}{q}\right)\frac{q(q-1)}{2}\sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}^\times}\left(\frac{a}{q}\right)+\epsilon\left(\frac{d}{q}\right)\frac{q(q-1)}{2}\left(\frac{Q(x_1')}{q}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where $V=\mathbb{F}x_1\oplus\mathbb{F}x_2\simeq\overline{M}_0N'$, and $(x_1'\ x_2')=(x_1\ x_2)\,{}^tG^{-1}$. As G varies, $\mathbb{F}x_1'$ varies over all lines in V and since V is regular,

$$\sum_{x_1'}\left(\frac{Q(x_1')}{q}\right)=0.$$

Thus the contribution from these terms is 0. □

Proposition 5.28. *For $\chi_1\neq1$, we have $\mathbb{E}_2|A_2^*(q)=\epsilon q^{2k}\mathbb{E}_{0,2}$.*

Proof. Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type 2, $Y\in\mathbb{Z}^{2,2}_{\text{sym}}$ with $\text{rank}_qY=2$. Then $\text{rank}_qMY=2$, so setting

$$(M'\ N')=q(M\ N+MY/q),$$

we have $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $0,2$ and $\chi_\sigma(M',N')=\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $0,2$. To have N integral, we need to choose $\frac{1}{q}M'Y\equiv N'\ (q)$; then

$$\left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det M}{q}\right)=\left(\frac{\det N'}{q}\right),$$

and $\mathbb{E}_2|A_2^*(q)=q^{2k}\mathbb{E}_{0,2}$. □

Proposition 5.29. *We have $\mathbb{E}_{1,1}|A_2^*(q)=q(q-1)\mathbb{E}_{1,1}$.*

Proof. Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $1,1$ with q^2 dividing row 2 of M. Hence $\text{rank}_qMY=1$.

Suppose first

$$(M'\ N')=\begin{pmatrix}q&\\&1\end{pmatrix}\left(M\ N+\frac{1}{q}MY\right)$$

is a coprime pair. Then $(M'\ N')$ is of q^2-type $0,1$, $\chi_\sigma(M',N')=\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $0,1$. For 1 choice of G, we have q choices of Y so that N is integral; here y_4 is free, and consequently

$$\sum_Y\left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right)\psi_1(M,N)=0.$$

For each of the other q choices of G, there are q choices of Y so that N is integral; here y_1 is free and again $\sum_Y\left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right)\psi_1(M,N)=0$.

Say $\operatorname{rank}_q \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left(N + \frac{1}{q}MY\right) = 1$; we can adjust the equivalence class representative so that

$$(M' \ N') = \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1/q \end{pmatrix} (M \ N + MY/q)$$

is integral of q^2-type $1,1$ and $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M' \ N')$ of q^2-type $1,1$; we can assume q does not divide row 2 of M' and q exactly divides row 1 of M'. For $E \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $\begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & q \end{pmatrix} E \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1/q \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $E \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$ (q^2), and to have $(M \ N)$ of q^2-type $1,1$ we need q exactly dividing row 1 of EM'. Thus we need to consider $E(M' \ N')$ where $E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & qb \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, b varying through the $q-1$ congruence classes modulo q so that q^2 does not divide row 1 of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & qb \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} M'$. For each such E, there are q choices for Y so that N is integral, and then

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right) \psi_1(M,N) = \epsilon q \psi_1(M',N'), \ \sum_Y \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right) = \epsilon q.$$

So the contribution from these terms is $q(q-1)\mathbb{E}_{1,1}$. □

Proposition 5.30. *For* $\chi_1 \neq 1$, $\nu = +$ *or* $-$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{1,2,\nu}|A_2^*(q) &= \nu\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^k(q-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{0,2,\epsilon} \\ &\quad - \nu\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^k(q+1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{0,2,-\epsilon} \\ &\quad + \epsilon q(q-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{1,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Choose $(M \ N)$ of q^2-type $1,2,\nu$ with q dividing row 2 of M.

Suppose

$$(M' \ N') = \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} (M \ N + \frac{1}{q}MY)$$

is a coprime pair. So $(M' \ N')$ has q^2-type $0,2$ and $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M' \ N')$ of q^2-type $0,2,\nu'$; so with $M_0 = \frac{1}{q}M'$, $d = \det M_0$, we have $\nu' \left(\frac{d \cdot \det N'}{q}\right) = 1$. To have N integral with (M,N) of q^2-type $1,2,\nu$, we need

$$EM_0Y\,{}^tM_0\,{}^tE \equiv EN'\,{}^tM_0\,{}^tE - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \ (q)$$

where $\nu\left(\frac{t}{q}\right) = 1$. Then for fixed E,

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right) \psi_1(M,N) = \nu \left(\frac{d}{q}\right) \sum_Y \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right)$$

and writing $EN'\,{}^tM_0\,{}^tE = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right) = \sum_t \left(\frac{-at + \det N'M_0}{q}\right)$$
$$= \begin{cases} (q-1)/2 \cdot \left(\frac{d\cdot\det N'}{q}\right) & \text{if } q|a, \\ -\left(\left(\frac{d\cdot\det N'}{q}\right) + \nu\left(\frac{-a}{q}\right)\right)/2 & \text{if } q\nmid a. \end{cases}$$

With $V = \mathbb{F}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}x_2 \simeq N'\,{}^tM_0$ and $(x_1'\ x_2') = (x_1\ x_2)\,{}^tE$, $Q(x_1') = a$. Then letting E vary,

$$\sum_{E,Y} \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right) = \begin{cases} (q-1)/2 \cdot \left(\frac{d\cdot\det N'}{q}\right) & \text{if } \nu' = \epsilon, \\ -(q+1)/2 \cdot \left(\frac{d\cdot\det N'}{q}\right) & \text{if } \nu' \neq \epsilon \end{cases}$$
$$\epsilon(q-1)/2.$$

So the contribution from these terms is

$$\nu\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^k(q-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{0,2,\epsilon} - \nu\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^k(q+1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{0,2,-\epsilon}.$$

Now suppose $\operatorname{rank}_q \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} (N + \frac{1}{q}MY) = 1$. Adjust the equivalence class representative so that q divides row 2 of $N + MY/q$;

$$(M'\ N') = \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1/q \end{pmatrix} (M\ \ N + \frac{1}{q}MY)$$

is coprime with q^2-type $1, 2$, and $\chi_\sigma(M', N') = \chi_\sigma(M, N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ q^2-type $1, 2, \nu'$ with q dividing row 1 of M'; set

$$(M\ N) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & q \end{pmatrix} E(M'\ \ N' - M'Y/q).$$

To have M of q^2-type $1, 2$ we need q dividing row 1 of EM'; so we take $E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & qb \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with b varying modulo q. Let $M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} EM'$; so M_0 is integral with $\operatorname{rank}_q M_0 = 2$. To have N integral with $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $1, 2, \nu$, we need

$$M_0 Y\,{}^tM_0 \equiv M_0\,{}^tN'\,{}^tE \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & q \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}\ (q)$$

where $\nu\left(\frac{t}{q}\right) = 1$. Then

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right) \psi_1(M, N) = \nu\left(\frac{d}{q}\right) \sum_Y \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right),$$

and with $EN' = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 \\ n_3 & n_4 \end{pmatrix}$, $EM' = \begin{pmatrix} qm_1 & qm_2 \\ m_3 & m_4 \end{pmatrix}$, we have $M_0Y \equiv \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \overline{d}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -m_2t & m_1t \end{pmatrix}$ (q). Thus $\det M_0Y\,{}^tM_0 \equiv -t(m_1n_1 + m_2n_2)$ (q). Since

$$\psi_1(M', N') = \left(\frac{d}{q}\right)\psi_1(M'\overline{M}_0, N'\,{}^tM_0) = \left(\frac{d}{q}\right)\left(\frac{m_1n_1 + m_2n_2}{q}\right),$$

we have

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right) = \epsilon\nu\left(\frac{d}{q}\right)\psi_1(M', N')(q-1)/2.$$

Thus the contribution from these terms is $\epsilon q(q-1)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{1,2}$. □

Proposition 5.31. *We have* $E_{0,0}|A_2^*(q) = \epsilon q(q-1)\mathbb{E}_{0,0}$.

Proof. With $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0, 0$, set $(M'\ N') = (M\ N + MY/q)$. Reversing, we can choose any Y, and we have N integral with $N' \equiv N$ (q). Thus

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{\det N'}{q}\right)\epsilon q(q-1),$$

hence the claim follows. □

Proposition 5.32. *For* $\nu = +$ *or* $-$, $\mathbb{E}_{0,1,\nu}|A_2^*(q) = \epsilon q(q-1)\mathbb{E}_{0,1,\nu}$.

Proof. Take $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0, 1, \nu$ with q^2 dividing row 2 of M. Then q does not divide row 2 of $N + \frac{1}{q}MY$, so $\mathrm{rank}_q(N + \frac{1}{q}MY) \geq 1$.

Say $(M'\ N') = (M\ N + \frac{1}{q}MY)$ is a coprime pair. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $0, 1, \nu'$. Then there are $q^2(q-1)/2$ choices of Y so that $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type $0, 1, \nu$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_Y \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right) &= \nu\psi_1(M'/q, N')\sum_Y \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \nu\psi_1(M'/q, N') \cdot \epsilon q(q-1) & \text{if } \nu' = \nu, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

So the contribution from these terms is $\epsilon q(q-1)\mathbb{E}_{0,1,\nu}$.

Now say $\mathrm{rank}_q(N + \frac{1}{q}MY) = 1$. Thus we can adjust the equivalence class representative so that

$$(M'\ N') = \begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}(M\ N + MY/q)$$

is a coprime pair of q^2-type $1, 1$, and $\chi_\sigma(M', N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M, N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $1, 1$. For any Y with $q \nmid \det Y$, $(M\ N)$ is integral and coprime. To have $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0, 1$, we need $E \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ (q). Take $G \in$

$SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $M'G \equiv \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (q). Thus $N'\,{}^tG^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n_2 \\ 0 & n_4 \end{pmatrix}$ (q), $q \nmid n_4$, and hence with $U = G^{-1}Y\,{}^tG^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u_2 & u_4 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$N\,{}^tG^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}(N'\,{}^tG^{-1} - \frac{1}{q}M'GU) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -m_1u_1 & -m_1u_2 \\ 0 & n_4 \end{pmatrix} \ (q).$$

To have $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0, 1, \nu$, we need to choose u_1 so that $\nu\left(\frac{-u_1}{q}\right) = 1$. So u_1 is fixed with $q \nmid u_1$ and u_2, u_4 are unconstrained; thus

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right) = \nu\psi_1(M/q, N)\sum_U \left(\frac{\det U}{q}\right) = 0.$$

The proposition now follows. □

Proposition 5.33. *For $\chi_1 \neq 1$, $\nu = +$ or $-$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{0,2,\nu}|A_2^*(q) = \epsilon q(q-2)\mathbb{E}_{0,2,\nu} + \epsilon\nu\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{1-k}(q-1)/2 \cdot (\mathbb{E}_{1,2,+} - \mathbb{E}_{1,2,-})\\ + q^{1-2k}(q-1)(q+\epsilon\nu)/2 \cdot \mathbb{E}_2.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Begin with $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0, 2, \nu$.

Say $(M'\ N') = (M\ N + MY/q)$ is coprime; then $(M'\ N')$ has q^2-type $0, 2$ and $\chi_\sigma(M', N') = \chi_\sigma(M, N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $0, 2, \nu'$. Choose $Y \in \mathbb{F}^{2,2}_{\text{sym}}$ so that

$$(M\ N) = (M'\ N' - M'Y/q)$$

is q^2-type $0, 2, \nu$. Set $M_0 = \frac{1}{q}M'$; thus we need Y so that $\nu\left(\frac{\det \overline{M}_0N' - Y}{q}\right) = 1$. We know there is some $G \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ so that ${}^tG\overline{M}_0N'G \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ (q) where $\nu'\left(\frac{c}{q}\right) = 1$, so choosing Y is equivalent to choosing $U = {}^tGYG$ so that $\det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} - U \equiv t$ (q) where $\nu\left(\frac{t}{q}\right) = 1$. So we need either $u_1 \not\equiv 1$ (q), $u_4 \equiv (t + u_2^2)\overline{(u_1 - 1)} + c$ (q), or $u_1 \equiv 1$ (q), $-u_2^2 \equiv t$ (q). Summing over all such U, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_U \left(\frac{\det U}{q}\right) &= \sum_{\substack{u_1 \not\equiv 1 \\ u_2,\, t}} \left(\frac{u_1 - 1}{q}\right)\left(\frac{u_2^2 + u_1(t + cu_1 - c)}{q}\right)\\ &\quad + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \epsilon \neq \nu, \\ \sum_{u_2 \not\equiv 0,\, u_4} \left(\frac{u_4 - u_2^2}{q}\right) & \text{if } \epsilon = \nu, \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

where u_2, u_4 vary modulo q, and t varies over all quadratic residues modulo q if $\nu = +$, and over all quadratic non-residues if $\nu = -$. Note that for any u_2, $\sum_{u_4} \left(\frac{u_4 - u_2^2}{q}\right) = 0$. For fixed t,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{u_1,u_2} \left(\frac{\det U}{q}\right) &= \sum_{u_1 \not\equiv 1} \left(\frac{u_1 - 1}{q}\right)\left(\frac{u_1(t + u_1 c - c)}{q}\right) \\ &\quad + (q-1) \sum_{u_1 \equiv 0, 1 - \overline{c}t} \left(\frac{u_1 - 1}{q}\right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{u_1 \not\equiv 0,1,1-\overline{c}t} \left(\frac{u_1 - 1}{q}\right)\left(1 + \left(\frac{u_1(t + u_1 c - c)}{q}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\left(\frac{u_1(t+u_1c-c)}{q}\right) = 0$ when $u_1 \equiv 0$ or $1 - \overline{c}t$ (q), and since $\sum_{u_1} \left(\frac{u_1-1}{q}\right) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{u_1,u_2} \left(\frac{\det U}{q}\right) &= (q-1) \sum_{u_1 \equiv 0,1-\overline{c}t} \left(\frac{u_1 - 1}{q}\right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{u_1 \not\equiv 0,1-\overline{c}t} \left(\frac{u_1 - 1}{q}\right) + \sum_{u_1} \left(\frac{u_1 - 1}{q}\right) \\ &= q \sum_{u_1 \equiv 0,1-\overline{c}t} \left(\frac{u_1 - 1}{q}\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \epsilon q & \text{if } c \equiv t \ (q), \\ \epsilon q \left(1 + \left(\frac{ct}{q}\right)\right) & \text{if } c \not\equiv t \ (q). \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

We know $\nu\nu' \left(\frac{ct}{q}\right) = 1$, so

$$\sum_{t,u_1,u_2} \left(\frac{\det U}{q}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \nu \neq \nu', \\ \epsilon q + 2\epsilon q(q-3)/2 & \text{if } \nu = \nu'. \end{cases}$$

Hence when $\nu = \nu'$,

$$\sum_{Y} \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right) = \epsilon\nu \left(\frac{d}{q}\right) q(q-2) = \epsilon \left(\frac{\det N'}{q}\right) q(q-2).$$

Thus the contribution from these terms is $\epsilon q(q-2)\mathbb{E}_{0,2,\nu}$.

Now say $\operatorname{rank}_q(N + \frac{1}{q}MY) = 1$. Adjust the equivalence class representative for $(M\ N)$ so that q divides row 1 of $N + \frac{1}{q}MY$, and set

$$(M'\ N') = \begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} (M\ N + \frac{1}{q}MY).$$

So $(M'\ N')$ has q^2-type $1,2$ with q dividing row 2 of M' and $\chi_\sigma(M',N') = \chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, take $(M'\ N')$ of q^2-type $1,2$ with q dividing row 2 of M', and set

$$(M\ N) = \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} E(M'\ N' - M'Y/q),$$

$E \in {}^t\mathcal{G}_1$. To have M of q^2-type $0,2$, we need q to divide row 2 of EM'; then

$$\begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} E \begin{pmatrix} 1/q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

So we only need to consider $E = I$. Set $M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1/q \end{pmatrix} M'$. Choose $G \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $M_0G \equiv \begin{pmatrix} d & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ (q); by the symmetry of $M'\,{}^tN'$, we have $N'\,{}^tG^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ (q), and $q \nmid c$ since $(M',N') = 1$. (So $\psi_1(M',N') = \left(\frac{dc}{q}\right)$.) With $U = M_0Y\,{}^tM_0 = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u_2 & u_4 \end{pmatrix}$, we have $\left(\frac{\det U}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right)$ and

$$N\,{}^tM_0 = \begin{pmatrix} q & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} N'\,{}^tM_0 - U.$$

To have $(M\ N)$ of q^2-type $0,2,\nu$, we need $u_1(u_4 - c) - u_2^2 \equiv t$ (q) for some t so that $\nu\left(\frac{t}{q}\right) = 1$. For each such t and $u_1 \not\equiv 0$ (q), we need $u_4 \equiv \overline{u}_1(t + u_2^2) + c$ (q); if $\nu = \epsilon$, we can allow $q|u_1$ (and then u_4 is unconstrained). Letting t vary so that $\nu\left(\frac{t}{q}\right) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{t,u_2\\u_1\not\equiv 0}} \left(\frac{t + u_1c}{q}\right) &= \begin{cases} -q\sum_{u_1\not\equiv 0}\left(1 + \left(\frac{u_1c}{q}\right)\right)/2 & \text{if } \nu = +, \\ q\sum_{u_1\not\equiv 0}\left(1 - \left(\frac{u_1c}{q}\right)\right)/2 & \text{if } \nu = - \end{cases} \\ &= -\nu q(q-1)/2.\end{aligned}$$

If $\nu = \epsilon$ then we also have a contribution to $\sum_Y \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right)$ of

$$\sum_{t,u_4}\sum_{-u_2^2\equiv t}\left(\frac{-u_2^2}{q}\right) = \epsilon q(q-1).$$

Thus the sum on Y is $\epsilon q(q-1)/2$. Since

$$\sum_Y \left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right) = \nu\nu'\psi_1(M',N')\sum_Y\left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right),$$

the contribution from this case is $\nu\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)q^{1-k}(q-1)/2\cdot(\mathbb{E}_{1,2,\epsilon}-\mathbb{E}_{1,2,-\epsilon})$.

Say $\mathrm{rank}_q(N+\frac{1}{q}MY)=0$. With $(M'\ N')=\frac{1}{q}(M\ N+MY/q)$, we have $\mathrm{rank}_q M'=2$ and $\chi_\sigma(M',N')=\chi_\sigma(M,N)$. Reversing, with $(M'\ N')$ of q-type 2, set

$$(M\ N)=q(M'\ N'-M'Y/q).$$

So $(M\ N)$ is q^2-type $0,2,\nu$ if and only if $\nu\left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right)=1$. Summing over such Y, we have

$$\sum_Y\left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right)\left(\frac{\det N}{q}\right)=\nu\left(\frac{\det M'}{q}\right)\#\left\{\mathrm{sym}\ Y\ (q):\ \nu\left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right)=1\ \right\}$$

and

$$\#\left\{\mathrm{sym}\ Y\ (q):\ \nu\left(\frac{\det Y}{q}\right)=1\ \right\}=\nu q(q-1)(q+\epsilon\nu)/2.$$

Thus the contribution from this case is $\nu q^{1-2k}(q-1)(q+\epsilon\nu)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_2$ if $\chi_q=1$, and $q^{1-2k}(q-1)(q+\epsilon\nu)/2\cdot\mathbb{E}_2$ if $\chi_q\neq1$. □

§6. The Main Theorem and its proof

Theorem 6.1. *Let K be a maximal even integral lattice with $\mathrm{rank}K=2k\geq8$, odd level $\mathcal{N}$, and $\chi(*)=\left(\frac{*}{\mathrm{disc}K}\right)$. For q a prime dividing $\mathcal{N}$, set*

$$c_1(q)=\begin{cases}\chi_{\mathcal{N}/q}(q)\left(\frac{\eta_q}{q}\right)q^{-1}\mathbf{g}(q) & \text{if } \chi_q\neq1,\\ -q^{-1} & \text{if } \chi_q=1,\end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_qK\simeq2\langle1,-1,\dots,1,-1,\eta_q\rangle\perp2q\langle\eta'_q\rangle$ when $\chi_q\neq1$, and set

$$c_2(q)=\begin{cases}\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)q^{-1} & \text{if } \chi_q\neq1,\\ q^{-2} & \text{if } \chi_q=1.\end{cases}$$

Extending c_1,c_2 multiplicatively, we have

$$\theta(genK)=\sum_{\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{N}_2=\mathcal{N}}c_1(\mathcal{N}_1)c_2(\mathcal{N}_2)\mathbb{E}_{(\mathcal{N}_0,\mathcal{N}_1,\mathcal{N}_2)}$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{(\mathcal{N}_0,\mathcal{N}_1,\mathcal{N}_2)}$ is the Eisenstein series of level $\mathcal{N}$, character χ defined in §2.

Proof. Using [3], we know that $\theta(\mathrm{gen}K)\in\mathcal{E}_k(\mathcal{N},\chi)$, the space of degree 2 Siegel Eisenstein series of weight k, level $\mathcal{N}$, character χ; we normalised $\theta(\mathrm{gen}K)$ to have 0th Fourier coefficient equal to 1, and we showed in Theorem 4.11 that for each prime $q|\mathcal{N}$, $\theta(\mathrm{gen}K)$ is an eigenform for $T_K(q)$. We will show there is a unique $\mathbb{E}\in\mathcal{E}_k(\mathcal{N},\chi)$ with 0th Fourier coefficient 1 so that for each prime $q|\mathcal{N}$, $\mathbb{E}|T_K(q)\in\mathcal{E}_k(\mathcal{N},\chi)$.

We know $\{\mathbb{E}_{(\mathcal{N}_0,\mathcal{N}_1,\mathcal{N}_2)} : \mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{N}_2 = \mathcal{N}\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{E}_k(\mathcal{N},\chi)$, and (using [3]) the 0th Fourier coefficient of $\mathbb{E}_{(\mathcal{N}_0,\mathcal{N}_1,\mathcal{N}_2)}$ is 0 unless $\mathcal{N}_0 = \mathcal{N}$, in which case it is 1. So set

$$\mathbb{E} = \sum_{\mathcal{N}_0\mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{N}_2=\mathcal{N}} c(\mathcal{N}_1,\mathcal{N}_2)\mathbb{E}_{(\mathcal{N}_0,\mathcal{N}_1,\mathcal{N}_2)}$$

where $c(\mathcal{N}_1,\mathcal{N}_2) \in \mathbb{C}$ with $c(1,1) = 1$, and suppose that for each prime $q|\mathcal{N}$, we have $\mathbb{E}|T_K(q) \in \mathcal{E}_k(\mathcal{N},\chi)$. Fix a prime $q|\mathcal{N}$; rewrite

$$\mathbb{E} = \sum_{Q_1Q_2|\mathcal{N}/q} \mathbb{E}(Q_1,Q_2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(Q_1,Q_2) = c(Q_1,Q_2)\mathbb{E}_{(\mathcal{N}/(Q_1Q_2),Q_1,Q_2)} &+ c(qQ_1,Q_2)\mathbb{E}_{(\mathcal{N}/(qQ_1Q_2),qQ_1,Q_2)}\\ &+ c(Q_1,qQ_2)\mathbb{E}_{(\mathcal{N}/(qQ_1Q_2),Q_1,qQ_2)}.\end{aligned}$$

For $Q_1Q_2|\mathcal{N}/q$ and $\sigma = (\mathcal{N}/(qQ_1Q_2),Q_1,Q_2)$, we supplement the notation of §5 (where σ was fixed) by setting

$$\mathbb{E}_0^\sigma = \mathbb{E}_{(\mathcal{N}/(Q_1Q_2),Q_1,Q_2)},\ \mathbb{E}_1^\sigma = \mathbb{E}_{(\mathcal{N}/(qQ_1Q_2),qQ_1,Q_2)},\ \mathbb{E}_2^\sigma = \mathbb{E}_{(\mathcal{N}/(qQ_1Q_2),Q_1,qQ_2)}.$$

So again supplementing the notation, the results of §5 tell us how to write $\mathbb{E}_i^\sigma|T_K(q)$ in terms of the elements of

$$\mathcal{B}^\sigma = \{\mathbb{E}_{0,0}^\sigma, \mathbb{E}_{0,1,\nu}^\sigma, \mathbb{E}_{0,2,\alpha}^\sigma, \mathbb{E}_{1,1}^\sigma, \mathbb{E}_{1,2,\nu}^\sigma, \mathbb{E}_2^\sigma :\ \nu = + \text{ or } -,\ \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\ \}.$$

So we have $\mathbb{E}_i^\sigma, \mathbb{E}_i^\sigma|T_K(q) \in \operatorname{span}\mathcal{B}^\sigma$, and with σ varying over all partitions of $\mathcal{N}/q$, $\cup_\sigma \mathcal{B}^\sigma$ is a linearly independent set. Hence to have $\mathbb{E}|T_K(q) \in \mathcal{E}_k(\mathcal{N},\chi)$, for each $\sigma = (\mathcal{N}/(qQ_1Q_2),Q_1,Q_2)$ we must have

$$(c(Q_1,Q_2)\mathbb{E}_0^\sigma + c(qQ_1,Q_2)\mathbb{E}_1^\sigma + c(Q_1,qQ_2)\mathbb{E}_2^\sigma)|T_K(q) \in \operatorname{span}\{\mathbb{E}_0^\sigma,\mathbb{E}_1^\sigma,\mathbb{E}_2^\sigma\}.$$

(To satisfy this, there are many conditions that must hold, and some of these are easier to work with than others; three of these conditions tell us that in the expression for $\mathbb{E}(Q_1,Q_2)|T_K(q)$ in terms of the elements of $\mathcal{B}^\sigma$, the coefficients on $(\mathbb{E}_{0,1,+}^\sigma - \mathbb{E}_{0,1,-}^\sigma)$ and $(\mathbb{E}_{0,2,+}^\sigma - \mathbb{E}_{0,2,-}^\sigma)$ must be 0, and the coefficients on $\mathbb{E}_{0,0}^\sigma$ and $\mathbb{E}_{0,1}^\sigma$ must be equal. After using this criteria to solve for $c(qQ_1,Q_2), c(Q_1,qQ_2)$, it is not difficult to verify that all the required conditions are met.) We find that we must have

$$c(qQ_1,Q_2) = c_1(q)c(Q_1,Q_2),\ c(Q_1,qQ_2) = c_2(q)c(Q_1,Q_2).$$

These relations must hold for all primes dividing $\mathcal{N}$, so we must have

$$c(Q_1,Q_2) = c_1(Q_1)c_2(Q_2)c(1,1) = c_1(Q_1)c_2(Q_2),$$

proving the theorem. □

REFERENCES

1. S. Böcherer, *Über die Fourierkoeffizienten der Siegelschen Eisensteinreihen*, Manuscripta Math. **45** (1984), 273-288.
2. Y. Choie, W. Kohnen, *Fourier coefficients of Siegel-Eisenstein series of odd genus*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **374** (2011), 1-7.
3. E. Freitag, *Siegel Eisenstein series of arbitrary level and theta series*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **66** (1996), 229-247.
4. J.L. Hafner, L.H. Walling, *Indefinite quadratic forms and Eisenstein series*, Forum Math. **11** (1999), 313-348.
5. J.L. Hafner, L.H. Walling, *Explicit action of Hecke operators on Siegel modular forms*, J. Number Theory **93** (2002), 34-57.
6. H. Katsurada, *An explicit formula for the Fourier coefficients of Siegel-Eisenstein series of degree 3*, Nagoya Math. J. **146** (1997), 199-223.
7. H. Katsurada, *An explicit formula for Siegel series*, Am. J. Math. **121(2)** (1999), 415-452.
8. G. Kaufhold, *Dirichletsche Riehe mit Funktionalgleichung in der Theorie der Modulfunktion 2. Grades*, Math. Ann. **137** (1959), 113-122.
9. W. Kohnen, *Lifting modular forms of half-integral weight to Siegel modular forms of even genus*, Math. Ann. **322** (2002), 787-809.
10. H. Maass, *Die Fourierkoeffizienten der Eisensteinreihen zweiten Grades*, Mat.-Fys. Medd. Danske Vid. Selsk. **34 (7), p 25** (1964).
11. H. Maass, *Über die Fourierkoeffizienten der Eisensteinreihen zweiten Grades*, Mat.-Fys. Medd. Danske Vid. Selsk. **38 (14), p 13** (1972).
12. Y. Mizuno, *An explicit arithmetic formula for the Fourier coefficients of Siegel-Eisenstein series of degree two and square-free odd levels*, Math. Z. **263** (2009), 837-860.
13. O.T. O'Meara, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms*, Grund. Math. Wiss., Vol. 117, Springer-Verlag, 1973.
14. H. Saito, *A generalization of Gauss sums and its applications to Siegel modular forms and L-functions associated with the vector space of quadratic forms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **416** (1991), 91-142.
15. S. Takemori, *p-adic Siegel-Eisenstein series of degree 2*, Preprint.
16. L.H. Walling, *Explicit Siegel theory: an algebraic approach*, Duke J. Math. **89 (1)** (1997), 37-74.
17. L.H. Walling, *Action of Hecke operators on Siegel theta series I*, Int. J. Number Theory **2 (2)** (2006), 169-186.
18. L.H. Walling, *Hecke eigenvalues and relations for degree 2 Siegel Eisenstein series*, (preprint).

L.H. WALLING, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY WALK, UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, BRISTOL BS8 1TW ENGLAND